

## Chesterfield Borough Local Plan Submission Version

Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications

**FEBRUARY 2020** 

## Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Proposed Modifications
- 3. Associated Figures, Tables and Maps

## 1. Introduction

- 1.1. The Chesterfield Borough Council Local Plan (the Plan) was submitted for examination in public on the 28<sup>th</sup> June 2019.
- 1.2. Following receipt of the correspondence 'Matters, Issues and Questions' from the Planning Inspectors examining the Local Plan, the Council identified a number of changes to the Plan (known as Proposed Modifications), to make the Plan 'Sound'.
- 1.3. Public Hearings took place at the Town Hall between 15th October and 6th November 2019. Through the Examination and Hearings, the Inspectors have identified a number of modifications required to the plan in order to make it 'Sound' (as set out in paragraph 35 of the National Planning Policy Framework). These modifications are described as 'Main Modifications'. The Proposed Modifications are provided without prejudice to the final conclusions of the Planning Inspectors.
- 1.4. As far as possible the Proposed Modifications are set out in order of the parts of the Plan which they change. The text that is proposed to be deleted is struck through (example) and new text is shown underlined and in bold text (example). The column headed 'Reason for Change' summarises the reason for the proposed modification. Main modifications are labelled with an MM e.g. MM1, MM2.
- 1.5. Note that there are no modifications numbered MM26, 27, 61, or 63. These have been moved to the table of additional modifications, but the table has not been re-numbered to maintain consistency with previous drafts.
- 1.6. The consultation on these Main Modifications will run between Friday 6th March 2020 until 5pm Friday 17th April 2020. Responses must be returned by the date referred to above. Representations received after the end of the consultation period will not be taken into account.
- 1.7. The proposed Main Modifications have been subject to Sustainability Appraisal, Equalities Impact Assessment, and assessment under the Habitats Regulations. These assessments, alongside details of the consultation process and how to make representations, are available through the Council's Website:

https://www.chesterfield.gov.uk/planning-and-building-control/planning-policy-and-thelocal-plan/new-local-plan/local-plan-submission.aspx

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ					
Spatial Strategy									
MM1	Contents Page	To identify Strategic Policies as required by the NPPF	AP6						
MM2	Pages 14-15	Insert Key Diagram between pages 14 and 15. See Associated Figures, Tables and Diagrams (Proposed Modifications) for the proposed modification.		MIQ 1.3 AP10					
MM3	Paragraph 1.3 (page 9)	1.3 There will be 44 50 ha of land provided between 2018 and 2033 2035 for new high quality employment development so that together with existing employment areas, a range of jobs and training opportunities are easily accessible to those who need them. All this new development will be in areas with an established industrial character or areas of regeneration specifically designated for mixed use. Economic activity and employment opportunities are focussed where the regeneration benefits can be maximised, particularly in the Staveley and Rother Valley Corridor and along the A61 Corridor where there is significant need for work and training. High employment density uses such as offices are located in the most accessible locations near to town and district centres.	To align the employment land requirement figure with the plan period of 2018-2035.	AP24					
MM4	1.20 (page 12)2033 2035 to meet the Objectively Assessed Need (OAN), the majority of which are located within easy walking and cycling reach of the existing district and town centres. Some new housing development will be directed to areas suffering from deprivation to aid the regeneration of these communities. Some of this housing willrequire mether extended		To align the housing requirement with the LHN methodology set out in the NPPF and NPPG and the extended plan period of 2018-2035.	AP19					
MM5	Objective 2 (page 14)	<ul> <li>S2 Provide sites for at least 4374 4080 homes to be built between 2018 and 2033</li> <li>2035 to meet the housing requirement for Chesterfield borough</li> </ul>	To align the housing requirement with the LHN methodology set out in the	AP19					

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ	
				NPPF and NPPG and the extended plan period of 2018-2035.	
MM6	Objective S6 (page 14)	S6: Provide 44 <u>at least 50</u> ha of new em <u>2035</u> .	ployment land between 2018 and <del>2033</del>	To align the employment land requirement figure with the plan period of 2018-2035.	AP24
MM7	Paragraphs 2.2 to 2.6 and table 1	Assessed Need (OAN) of <b>265</b> -net net the borough's housing needs. <u>The Louding time the NPPF indicates a minimu</u> <u>annum. No further adjustments to</u> <u>unmet need in neighbouring areas</u> 2.3. Between 2014- <del>2033</del> <u>2035</u> , this equat <u>5035</u> <u>4,080 new</u> dwellings <u>(240 dwe</u> 2.4. <del>661 net new dwellings were complet</del>	ed between 2014 and 2018. Hivery of homes since 2014 (the base date a shortfall of <b>399</b> dwellings is added period.	To align the housing requirement with the LHN methodology set out in the NPPF and NPPG and the extended plan period of 2018-2035.	AP19

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
MM8 Paragraph 2.8 (page 16)		2.8. This work concludes that 44 hectares of employment land are required between 2018-2033, split into B1 5.2 ha, B2 – 21 ha and B8 – 17.6 ha. This equates to a minimum of 50ha across the Local Plan period of 2018 to 2035. Chesterfield needs to be able to offer an appropriate range of employment sites, in terms of quantity, size and location. The quality of site provision is key, and the best employment sites must be protected.	To align the employment land requirement figure with the plan period of 2018-2035.	AP25
MM9	Table 2 (page 16)	See <b>Associated Figures, Tables and Diagrams (Proposed Modifications)</b> for the proposed modification.	To align the employment land requirement figure with the plan period of 2018-2035.	AP25
MM10	Policy LP1	LP1 Spatial Strategy The overall approach to growth will be to concentrate new development within walking distance of <u>a range of Key Services as set out in policy LP2</u> centres, and to focus on areas that need regenerating, including the 'place shaping' areas set out in policies SS1 to SS6 and Regeneration Priority Areas. <u>Regeneration Priority Areas</u> The council will maximise regeneration benefits to existing communities offered by development opportunities in the following areas:	To align with the approach set out in the NPPF	AP18 AP19 AP24 AP26
		<ul> <li>Eastern Villages– Barrow Hill, Duckmanton, Mastin Moor, Poolsbrook,</li> <li>Holme Hall</li> <li><u>Staveley and Rother Valley Corridor</u></li> </ul>	Additional modification for clarity in response to Chatsworth Settlement Trustees representation.	

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		The council will make provision for the delivery of a minimum Objectively Assessed Need (OAN) of <b>240 new dwellings per year, (4,080</b> 4374 dwellings over the period 2018 to <del>2033</del> <b>2035</b> ). New housing development will be in line with the strategy of 'Concentration and Regeneration'.	To use the Local Housing Need figure to be consistent with the NPPF and NPPG.	Chatsworth Settlement Trustees (68) 15 SLP
		Economic Growth		
		To maintain economic growth and quality of provision, the council will make provision for 44 <u>50</u> hectares of new employment land (B1, B2 and B8 uses) over the period 2018 to <del>2033</del> <b>2035</b> . The key areas for employment land are at the already committed Markham Vale development, and at Staveley and Rother Valley Corridor. Policy LP7 <u>and the policies map</u> set <del>s</del> out broad locations for employment uses.	To align the employment land requirement figure with the plan period of 2018-2035.	
		<u>Green Belt</u>		
		The existing Green Belt will be maintained and enhanced.		
		Strategic Gaps and Green Wedges		
		Strategic gaps give distinct identity to different areas, prevent neighbouring settlements from merging into one another, and maintain open space. Green Wedges provide access to the countryside from urban areas. The open character of Strategic Gaps will be protected from development between:		
		<ul> <li>Brimington and Tapton (SG1)</li> <li>Ringwood and Hollingwood (SG2)</li> </ul>		
		<ul> <li>Lowgates / Netherthorpe and Woodthorpe / Mastin Moor (SG3)</li> <li>Woodthorpe and Markham Vale (SG4)</li> <li>Old Whittington and New Whittington (SG5)</li> </ul>		

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		Brimington North (SG6)		
		Green Wedges provide access to the countryside from urban areas. Green Wedges will be protected from development at:		
		<ul> <li>Walton River Hipper Corridor (GW1)</li> <li>Holme Hall and Newbold Green (GW2)</li> <li>Dunston and Sheepbridge (GW3)</li> </ul>		
		The boundaries of Strategic Gaps and Green Wedges are identified on the Policies Map.		
MM11	Paragraph 2.15 and 2.17	<ul> <li>2.15. The Local Plan's spatial strategy aims to <u>support and</u> encourage walking, and cycling <u>and the use of public transport</u> by locating new development within reasonable walking distance of <u>a range of key</u> services in centres. <u>The Local Plan's spatial strategy also recognises the importance of convenient access on foot to key services for those on low incomes, including the health benefits of walkable <u>neighbourhoods</u>. <u>The concept of a walkable neighbourhood is set out in the Council's supplementary planning document: 'Successful Places'.</u></u></li> <li>2.16. National Travel Survey data<sup>2</sup> continues to show walking as being the second highest mode of transport after private vehicles but only for short distances (i.e. 76% of walking trips being under 1 mile/1.6km), whilst people on low incomes are more likely to depend on walking to get around<sup>3</sup>. <del>Guidance</del> indicates that a walking distance of up to 800m is appropriate for accessibility to town centres and would achieve the aims of the Spatial Strategy with walking distance<sup>4</sup> on a safe route with no significant obvious 'barriers to walking'. This is based</li> </ul>	To clarify the approach taken to sustainable transport.	AP8

Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
	<ul> <li>2.17 What constitutes a reasonable walking distance is set out in various relevant guidance<sup>1</sup> including the Council's adopted residential design guide supplementary planning document: 'Successful Places'. When seeking to apply the spatial strategy and principles for the location of development the Council will have regard to the concept of a walkable neighbourhood and the walking distances described in its SPD: Successful Places. Regard will also be had to However, a "reasonable" walking distance is likely to be affected by the likely effect of factors such as location, topography, weather, pedestrian facilities, trip purpose and cultural factors. There are also qualitative considerations which will be taken into account, including (but not exclusively):</li> <li>topography</li> <li>lighting</li> <li>quality of surface</li> <li>provision of off road pathways</li> <li>safety, including isolated pathways, extent of overlooking, lighting, traffic, pedestrian crossings</li> </ul>		
Policy LP2	<sup>1</sup> Table 3.2 on page 49 Guidelines for Journeys on Foot (Institution of Highways and Transportation) and Paragraph 3.30 on page 48 of Guidelines for Journeys on Foot (Institution of Highways and Transportation)         LP2 Principles for Location of Development         In allocating new development, or assessing Pplanning applications for developments that are not allocated in a DPD the Local Plan, sites will be assessed	To clarify the approach to sustainable transport and how planning applications for development on unallocated sites will be	MIQ 2.4 AP8
	Paragraph/ Page	Paragraph/ Page       2.17 What constitutes a reasonable walking distance is set out in various relevant guidance <sup>1</sup> including the Council's adopted residential design guide supplementary planning document: 'Successful Places'. When seeking to apply the spatial strategy and principles for the location of development the Council will have regard to the concept of a walkable neighbourhood and the walking distances described in its SPD: Successful Places. Regard will also be had to However, a "reasonable" walking distance is likely to be affected by the likely effect of factors such as location, topography, weather, pedestrian facilities, trip purpose and cultural factors. There are also qualitative considerations which will be taken into account, including (but not exclusively): <ul> <li>topography</li> <li>lighting</li> <li>quality of surface</li> <li>provision of off road pathways</li> <li>safety, including isolated pathways, extent of overlooking, lighting, traffic, pedestrian crossings</li> <li>accessibility, including dropped curbs</li> </ul> <li><sup>1</sup> Table 3.2 on page 49 Guidelines for Journeys on Foot (Institution of Highways and Transportation) and Paragraph 3.30 on page 48 of Guidelines for Journeys on Foot (Institution of Highways and Transportation)</li> <li>Policy LP2</li> <li>Pol Principles for Location of Development</li>	Paragraph/ Page       2.17 What constitutes a reasonable walking distance is set out in various relevant guidance <sup>1</sup> including the Council's adopted residential design guide supplementary planning document: 'Successful Places'. When seeking to apply the spatial strategy and principles for the location of development the Council will have regard to the concept of a walkable neighbourhood and the walking distances described in its SPD: Successful Places. Regard will also be had to However, a "reasonable" walking distance is likely to be affected by the likely effect of factors such as location, topography, weather, pedestrian facilities, trip purpose and cultural factors. There are also qualitative considerations which will be taken into account, including (but not exclusively): - topography - lighting - quality of surface - provision of off road pathways - safety, including isolated pathways, extent of overlooking, lighting, traffic, pedestrian crossings - accessibility, including dropped curbs <sup>1</sup> Table 3.2 on page 49 Guidelines for Journeys on Foot (Institution of Highways and Transportation) and Paragraph 3.30 on page 48 of Guidelines for Journeys on Foot (Institution of Highways and Transportation)         To clarify the approach to sustainable transport at hallocating new development, or assessing Pplanning applications for developments that are not allocated in a-DPDthe Local Plan, sites will be assessed supported according toby the extent to which the proposals meet the following

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		<ul> <li>a) deliver the council's Spatial Strategy (policy LP1);</li> <li>b) are on previously developed land that is not of high environmental value;</li> <li>c) are not on the best and most versatile agricultural land;</li> <li>dc) deliver wider regeneration and sustainability benefits to the area;</li> <li>e) utilise existing capacity in social infrastructure (policy LP11) or are of sufficient scale to provide additional capacity, either on site or through contributions towards off site improvements;</li> <li>fd) maximise opportunities through their location for walking and cycling and the use of public transport (policy LP23)access to a range of key services<sup>1</sup> via safe, lit, convenient walking routes;</li> <li>e) maximise opportunities through their location for cycling and the use of public transport to access a range of key service;</li> <li>f) utilise existing capacity in social infrastructure (policy LP11) or are of sufficient scale to provide additional capacity, either on site or through contributions towards off-site improvements;</li> <li>g) Ensure the long term protection of safeguarded Minerals Related Infrastructure as identified in the Derbyshire and Derby Minerals Local Plan and shown on the Policies Map</li> <li>h) are not on the best and most versatile agricultural land;</li> <li>h) meet sequential test requirements set out by other national or local policies.</li> <li>Exceptions to the council's Spatial Strategy will be considered where development proposals can clearly demonstrate that the proposed use:</li> <li>i. needs to be in a specific location in order to serve a defined local catchment or need, to access specific resources or facilities (including transport connections) or to make functional links to other, existing uses; or</li> <li>ii. be addressed or to support existing community facilities that otherwise would be at risk of closure.</li> </ul>		

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
	Page	New residential development will be expected to be within walking distance (800m) of an existing or proposed Local, District or Town Centre, via a safe, lit, convenient walking route, or demonstrate the ability to deliver appropriate provision on site <sup>1</sup> DfT Accessibility Indicators:         • Employment centres         • Primary schools         • Secondary schools         • Further Education institutions         • GPs         • Hospitals         • Food stores         • Town Centres		
MM13	Policy LP3	PRESUMPTION IN FAVOUR OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT2.18. The NPPF (para 11) states that all plans should be based upon and reflect the presumption in favour of sustainable development. Policy LP3 sets out what this means in practice, and how the presumption will influence decisions on development proposals.LP3 Presumption in favour of sustainable development When considering development proposals the Council will take a positive approach that reflects the presumption in favour of sustainable development contained in the National Planning Policy Framework. It will always work proactively with applicants jointly to find solutions which mean that proposals can be approved wherever possible, and to secure development that improves the economic, social and environmental conditions in the area.	Paragraph 11 of the revised NPPF contains a presumption in favour of sustainable development similar to that in Policy LP3, which applies to decision-taking on development proposals and in effect removes the need for policy LP3.	MIQ 2.5 AP9

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		Planning applications that accord with the policies in this Local Plan (and, where		
		relevant, with polices in neighbourhood plans) will be approved without delay,		
		unless material considerations indicate otherwise.		
		Where there are no policies relevant to the application or relevant policies are out of		
		date at the time of making the decision then the Council will grant permission unless		
		material considerations indicate otherwise – taking into account whether:		
		a) Any adverse impacts of granting permission would significantly and demonstrably		
		outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in the National Planning		
		Policy Framework taken as a whole; or		
		b) Specific policies in that Framework indicate that development should be		
		restricted.		
		Homes and Housing		
MM14	Paragraph 3.1	3.1 To meet the housing needs of a growing population and a growing economy, a	To use the Local Housing	AP18
		total of at least 4374 4,080 net new dwellings must be built in Chesterfield	Need figure to be	AP19
		Borough between 2018 and <del>2033</del> 2035. This is derived from the <del>objectively</del>	consistent with the NPPF	
		assessed need-figure Local Housing Need methodology derived housing	and NPPG.	
		requirement of 265 240 dwellings per annum plus the net level of completions		
		since 2014. This requires the completion of, on average, 292 dwellings each		
		year over the remaining 15 year period. This strategic housing requirement is		
		not a ceiling, and may be exceeded provided that it is sustainable, it can be		
		supported by existing or new infrastructure and it meets the objectives and policies in the Local Plan.		
MM15	Table 3	Replace table 3 and add supporting text as additional paragraph.	To meet the requirement	AP56
		See Associated Figures, Tables and Diagrams (Proposed Modifications) for the	in the NPPF to	
		proposed modification.	demonstrate that the	
			assessed needs for housing	
		The Local Plan must demonstrate a deliverable supply of housing sites sufficient	will be met across a	
		for five years with a minimum buffer of 5% (20% in the case of evidence of	minimum of 15 years from	
		persistent under-delivery via the Housing Delivery Test). As of 1 <sup>st</sup> April 2019, there	adoption and that the plan	

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed	l Modifica	tion				Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		was an ex	kisting sho	ortfall of 28 dwg	ellings, to	be resolved within	n the first five years.	can demonstrate a five	
		Monitori	ng indicat	es that the bord	ough is cui	rently on target to	o resolve the entirety	year supply of deliverable	
		of this sh	ortfall by	1 <sup>st</sup> April 2020.	The counc	il has therefore ap	oplied a 20% buffer	housing sites from the	
		for under	-delivery	to the five year	s from 1 <sup>st</sup>	April 2019 (the fire	st four years of the	date of adoption.	
		plan base	ed on an a	doption date o	n April 202	20). A 10% lapse r	ate has been applied		
		to comm	itments.						
		<u>Year</u>	<u>LHN</u>	Actual Completion <u>s</u>	<u>LHN +</u> <u>Buffer</u>	<u>Trajectory</u> <u>Forecast</u>			
		<u>2018/</u> <u>19</u>	<u>240</u>	<u>212</u>	<u>240</u>	<u>212</u>			
		<u>2019/</u> <u>20</u>	<u>240</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>294</u>	<u>315</u>			
		<u>2020/</u> <u>21</u>	<u>240</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>294</u>	<u>516</u>			
		<u>2021/</u> <u>22</u>	<u>240</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>294</u>	<u>515</u>			
		<u>2022/</u> <u>23</u>	<u>240</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>294</u>	<u>659</u>			
		<u>2023/</u> <u>24</u>	<u>240</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>295</u>	<u>466</u>			
		<u>2024/</u> <u>25</u>	<u>240</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>240</u>	<u>338</u>			
		From 1 <sup>st</sup>	April 2020	the council the	erefore exp	pects to demonstr	ate a supply of 2493		
		<u>new dwe</u>	llings agai	nst a target of :	1417 dwel	lings.			
		-				demonstrate a sup			
		<u>dwellings</u> 2035.	against a	minimum nous	sing requi	rement of 4080 be	tween 2018 and		

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		Delivery, including lapse and windfall rates, will continue to be monitored across the plan period and taken into account in future reviews.		
MM16		Housing Trajectory graph added See Associated Figures, Tables and Diagrams (Proposed Modifications) for the proposed modification.		AP56
MM17	Policy LP4	LP4 Flexibility in Delivery of Housing Planning permission will be granted for residential development on the sites allocated on the policies map and as set out in table 4, provided they accord with other relevant policies of the Local Plan.		MIQ 5.2, 5.3 AP33 AP34 AP35
		<ul> <li>Planning permission for residential development proposals on unallocated sites will only be permitted where it accords with the strategy of 'Concentration and Regeneration' as set out in policies LP1 and LP2 and other relevant policies of the Plan, and:</li> <li>Outside of the built-up area (as set out on the policies map), and subject to other relevant policies of the Local Plan, new residential development on sites not allocated in table 4 will only be permitted where:</li> <li>a) It is within the built up area: or</li> <li>a) It is within the built up area: or</li> <li>b) It re-uses redundant or disused buildings and enhances their immediate setting; or</li> <li>c) It is for the sub-division of an existing residential dwelling; or</li> <li>d) It is for the redevelopment of previously developed land in a manner that would not harm the intrinsic positive character of the countryside; or</li> </ul>	To link the policy to a modification to the policies map to define the extent of the 'built up area'. To differentiate between the built-up area and beyond it in terms of acceptable levels of acceptable levels of accessibility to services for new housing and impact on the intrinsic value of the countryside. <b>See</b> <b>corresponding</b> <b>modification to the</b> <b>proposals map.</b>	

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		<ul> <li>e) It represents the optimal viable use of a heritage asset or would be appropriate enabling development to secure the future of the heritage asset; or</li> <li>f) It meets a specific demonstrable housing need <u>for a rural worker</u> that can only be met in that particular location; or</li> <li>g) It is of exceptional quality of design quality, in that it: <ul> <li>i) is truly outstanding or innovative, reflecting the highest standards in architecture, and would help to raise standards of design more generally in rural areas; and</li> <li>ii) would significantly enhance its immediate setting, and be sensitive to the defining characteristics of the local area.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	To have greater consistency with paragraph 79(a) of the NPPF.	
		Where the council cannot, at the time, demonstrate a five year supply of deliverable sites for housing, planning permission for new residential development outside the built up area will be permitted in exception to the above criteria where it can demonstrate that it accords with the strategy of 'Concentration and Regeneration' as set out in policies LP1 and LP2 and other relevant policies of the Plan.	To remove an unnecessary element of the policy given the operation of paragraph 11(d) of the NPPF in situations where the Council cannot demonstrate a five year supply or housing completions fall below the thresholds set out in the NPPF.	
MM18	Table 4	See Associated Figures, Tables and Diagrams (Proposed Modifications) for the proposed modification.		MIQ 5.4, 5.5, 5.6, 5.7, 5.25 AP16 AP36 AP39 AP40 AP41 AP53

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	on	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ						
MM19	Paragraph 3.10	3.10. The Strategic Ho and amount of housin affordable housing. Recommended Size N	ng is required in	To remove reference to the mix of homes recommended in the SHMA, as it relates to the whole HMA area and is	AP22						
		Market	0-5%	30%	50%	<del>15-20%</del>	evidence that will be updated.				
		Low-cost home ownership	10-15%	40-45%	35-40%	5-10%					
		Affordable housing (rented)	<del>25-30%</del>	<del>45%</del>	<del>20%</del>	<del>5-10%</del>					
		aspirational housing v support economic reg Council wishes to foc housing needed woul homes than that show bedrooms.	<del>generation and us more specifi Id be focused s</del>	reduce in-commu ically on meeting l lightly more towa	iting of higher ear ocal needs the mi rds two and three	mers. If the x of market bedroom					
MM20	Table 7 and Additional	Housing for Older Pe					To include new evidence (Housing and	MIQ 3.17 AP20			
	paragraphs			of adaptable and		erties, there is	accommodation for an				
	following			ing for older peop has published "Ho		modation for	ageing population: a strategic vision for				
	paragraph 3.17			ategic vision for D			Derbyshire to 2035 (DCC				
	5.17	5.1/		-	key statistics for (			2019) as part of the			
		housing for o		•			reasoned justification for				
					<u>●3,358 peopl</u>	e provide 50 h	ours of more info	rmal care per wee	ek.	policy LP5.	
				rvice users aged 6	5 and over in rec	eipt of Adult					
		Care Services.									

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		<ul> <li>•1,494 people over the age of 65 are living with Dementia and this is set to increase to 2,437 by 2035, an increase of 63.1%.</li> <li>•13.5% of total households are single persons aged 65 and over living alone, this is 6,318 households.</li> <li>•468 care home with nursing beds and 498 care beds are currently available in 29 settings.</li> <li>3.X Specialist housing for older people comprises four accommodation types</li> </ul>		
		•Housing for older people: social sector sheltered and age-exclusive housing and private sector leasehold retirement housing. This will include schemes, for rent and for sale, with on-site staff support, those with locality-based support services and schemes with no associated support services. (This includes 'Age Restricted general market housing' and 'Retirement living or sheltered housing' as defined in national planning guidance:)		
		<ul> <li>Housing with care: includes extra care schemes, often called 'assisted living' in the private sector, with 24/7 care available on-site and housing schemes that offer bespoke care services, even if these are not full on-site 24/7 care, across both the social and private sector. (This is as defined in national planning guidance 'Extra care housing or housing-with-care')</li> <li>Residential care: residential accommodation together with personal care, i.e. a care home (as defined in national planning guidance as 'Residential</li> </ul>		
		<u>care homes').</u> •Nursing care: residential accommodation together with nursing care i.e. a <u>care home with nursing (as defined in national planning guidance as</u> <u>'Nursing homes').</u>		

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed N	1odificati	on							Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		-			ided an ir					<u>g for</u>		
		Old	er People	e and Hou	using with	n Care as	50 dwelli	ngs per a	<u>innum.</u>			
		Mo	ve Table	<u>6 to here</u>								
		3.X Mo	re recent	ly, Derby	shire Cou	nty Cour	cil have e	estimated	d the net			
		ado	litional ne	eed for o	lder peop	les housi	ng up to	<u> 2035:</u>				
		Estimated I	net additi	onal nee	d for olde	r people	s housing	g 2019 to	2035			
		Local	Housing	<u>g for</u>			Housing	g with				
		<u>Authorit</u>	older p	<u>eople</u>			Care					
		¥	<u>(units)</u>	Net	Net	Tatal	<u>(units)</u>	Net	Net	Tatal		
			Curre	<u>Net</u> additi	<u>Net</u> additi	<u>Total</u> <u>net</u>	Curre	<u>Net</u> additi	<u>Net</u> additi	<u>Total</u> net		
			nt	onal	onal	additi	nt	onal	onal	additi		
			<u>provis</u>	<u>need</u>	<u>need</u>	<u>onal</u>	<u>provis</u>	<u>need</u>	<u>need</u>	<u>onal</u>		
			<u>ion</u>	<u>(rent)</u>	<u>(sale)</u>	need	<u>ion</u>	<u>(rent)</u>	<u>(sale)</u>	need		
		Chesterfi		<u>2035</u>	<u>2035</u>	<u>2035</u>		<u>2035</u>	<u>2035</u>	<u>2035</u>		
		eld	<u>2,798</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>281</u>	<u>281</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>277</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>336</u>		
		Source: Housing	and accom	modation for	r an ageing p	opulation: a	strategic vis	ion for Derb	yshire to 203	<u>15</u>		
		<u>3.X The</u>	DCC esti	mation o	f need fo	r older po	eoples ho	ousing ov	er the pe	riod 2019		
				-	<u>9 dwellin</u>	gs per an	<u>num, wit</u>	h a sugge	ested ten	<u>ure split</u>		
			35% rent :		-	oplos ho	ucing the		ookod at i	the needs		
					esidential	•	-					
			-		ed space							
					line with					lousing		
					for an age			-		_		
		Dei	byshire t	o 2035 (C	DCC 2019)	) which a	verages a	at 21 bed	spaces p	er		

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		annum for Nursing Care but does identify a small surplus of Residential Care bed spaces.         Estimated net additional need for Residential and Nursing Care beds to 2035         Local       Residential care (beds)       Nursing care (beds)         Current provision       Current provision       Net additional need 2035       Current provision         Chesterfield       455       -65       437       343		
MM21		<ul> <li>Source: Housing and accommodation for an ageing population: a strategic vision for Derbyshire to 2035</li> <li>3.18. National planning guidance emphasises the need for policy to be viable. Developments should not be subject to such a scale of obligations and policy burdens that their ability to be developed viably is threatened. The 2018 Viability Study concluded that 25% adaptable and accessible housing and up</li> </ul>	To provide clarity within the pre-amble regarding viability and the relationship between	AP20
		to 20% affordable housing could be delivered in the borough. The proportion of affordable housing the council will seek to negotiate <del>will vary up to a</del> maximum of 20%, and will be informed by is based on the Viability Study evidence and the CIL zones. The zones will be reviewed alongside CIL rates when updated viability evidence is available.	policy LP5 and CIL requirements.	
MM22	Policy LP5	LP5 Range of Housing In order to increase local housing choice, respond to emerging needs and promote the creation of sustainable communities, in new housing developments the council will <del>require</del> <u>seek</u> a range of dwelling types and sizes based on the council's <u>most up</u>	To make the policy clearer and more effective, and to ensure consistency with the definition of affordable housing as set out in the NPPF.	MIQ 3.14, 3.15, 3.16, 3.17, 3.18 <b>AP20</b>

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed N	<b>Nodification</b>			Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
	Paragraph/	to date evid         characterist         Affordable         On sites tot         affordable         negotiation         most recent         CIL Zone         Zero         Low         Medium         High         Where a detthis will on	dence assessment of tics of the site area. Housing calling 10 or more dw housing and 25% of a informed by require tly adopted CIL Char % Affordable Housing Required 0 5 10 20	daptable and accessible f d in line with the chargin ging Schedule <sup>1</sup> . Type of affordable housing <u>n/a</u> 10% Affordable Home Ownership 90% Affordable Rent	of those sites) <del>up to 20% of rousing7,</del> will be <del>sough</del> t <del>by</del> g zones set in the council's	To provide flexibility and ensure consistency with national planning guidance.	
		tho • wh • wh sign	ere further information ere further information ere particular types on hificantly vary from s	ed on unallocated sites of ssessment that informed ion on infrastructure or s of development are prop tandard models of devel anges have occurred sinc	ite costs is required; osed which may opment for sale;		

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		Subject to site suitability, all affordable dwellings should be built to be adaptable and accessible homes, and within this 10% of the affordable dwellings should be built as wheelchair user homes.		
		Any affordable home ownership delivered as part of an affordable housing contribution should be in the form of shared ownership.		
		Where it is not possible or appropriate to deliver affordable housing on site, a financial contribution will be sought for provision off-site (including for adaptations).		
		Where the provision of affordable housing would adversely impact on the viability of development, the developer will be required to submit evidence demonstrating this and justifying a lower contribution or alternative tenure mix.		
		Adaptable and Accessible Housing		
		The following requirements for adaptable and accessible housing will apply subject to consideration of site suitability, taking account of site specific factors such as vulnerability to flooding and site topography.		
		• On sites totalling 10 or more dwellings (including phases of those sites) 25% of dwellings should be built to building regulations standard M4(2) (where a site includes affordable housing this should normally be proportionately split between tenures)		
		<ul> <li>Where the council has identified evidence of a specific need for a wheelchair accessible standard M4(3) property (for which the council is responsible for allocating or nominating a person to live in that dwelling) that is relevant to a site, this will be negotiated with the developer and secured by planning obligation, subject to consideration of viability and suitability.</li> </ul>		

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		Where evidence is submitted to demonstrate that step-free access is not viable, there will be no requirement for adaptable and accessible housing (building regulations M4(2) or M4(3)).		
		<ul> <li><u>Housing for Older People</u></li> <li>Proposals for new registered care facilities for housing for older people will be supported permitted on the housing sites on Table 4 where they:         <ul> <li>contribute to meeting needs identified in the councils most up to date evidence; and</li> <li>have good access to public transport, and</li> <li>have good access to health services and facilities.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		
		Registered care facilities will not be expected to provide affordable housing on site or as an off-site contribution. <sup>1</sup> https://www.chesterfield.gov.uk/planning-and-building-control/planning-permission-and-development-management/community-infrastructure-levy.aspx		
MM23	Paragraphs 3.20, to 3.24 and table 8	3.20. The Derbyshire Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment 2014 (GTAA) concluded that Chesterfield Borough has a pitch requirement of 4 over the period 2014 to 2019, with no requirement for the remainder of the plan period. Half <u>All</u> of this need has been met through planning permissions, <u>resulting in a surplus of one pitch.</u>	To update the reasoned justification in terms of committed authorised traveller sites. To remove reference to cancelled (albeit not replaced)	MIQ 3.20, 3.22, 3.24 AP21
		3.21. The latest government published updated guidance on Planning Policy for Travellers in 2015. This policy takes 'travellers' to mean gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople. This guidance requires local planning authorities to make a robust assessment of need for sites for travellers and to identify and update annually a supply of sites to meet pitch targets set to meet the	guidance	

Modification Policy/ Reference Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
Page	<ul> <li>need identified. Sites to address a five year supply are allocated in the Local Plan.</li> <li>3.22 The council undertook an exercise to identify potential sites for Gypsy and Traveller sites in late 2017 and early 2018. This considered 46 sites and included public consultation on six. At the end of this process it was concluded that none of the sites met the criteria of being available and suitable.</li> <li>Table 8 There is an outstanding need for 2 pitches within the plan period. Based on historic delivery, it is anticipated that this will be delivered via a windfall. </li> <li>3.23. In the absence of suitable sites, and in the event of an unanticipated need for a site arising, the Local Plan sets out a criteria-based approach by which planning applications for Gypsy and Traveller sites can be assessed. When considering proposals, the council will take account of the most recent national planning policy for traveller Sites'. <ul> <li>The existing level of local provision and need for sites.</li> <li>The availability (or lack of) alternative accommodation for the applicants.</li> <li>Other personal circumstances of the applicant.</li> </ul> 3.24 The Designing Gypsy and Traveller Sites Good Practice Guide 2008 should also be considered in relation to detailed design.</li></ul>		

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
MM24	Policy LP6	LP6 Sites for Travellers	To ensure consistency with the national Planning	MIQ 3.20, 3.22, 3.24
		Planning permission will be permitted for Traveller pitches on the sites allocated on the Policies Map and as set out in table 8, and also on unallocated land where:	Policy for Traveller Sites. Deletion of reference to flood risk as this would	AP21
		<ul> <li>a) the site is not located in the Green Belt<u>;or</u> adopted Local Green Spaces;</li> <li>b) there is no unacceptable impact on the function and purpose of Strategic Gaps, Green Wedges or on wildlife sites or other protected green spaces;</li> <li>c) the site is reasonably accessible to community services and facilities;</li> <li>d) the site provides adequate levels of amenity for users;</li> <li>e) the site can be adequately serviced with drinking water and sewerage disposal facilities;</li> <li>f) the site is of sufficient size to accommodate the proposed number of caravans, vehicles and ancillary work areas as appropriate;</li> <li>g) there is satisfactory boundary treatment to ensure privacy and to maintain visual amenities.</li> <li>h) the site is located outside of Flood Zone 3</li> <li>i) It meets other relevant policies of the Local Plan</li> </ul>	flood risk as this would unnecessarily duplicate other policies in the Plan and national planning policy which will be applied in any case. Deletion of reference to Local Green Spaces as none are allocated in the Local Plan	
		Jobs, Centres and Facilities		
MM25	paragraphs 4.8, page 31	4.8. The 2018 Employment Land study recommended that Chesterfield Borough should provide approximately 44 ha of new land for Business and Industrial use between 2018 and 2033 in order to provide sufficient jobs for the borough's population and wider catchment. This requirement figure has been extended to align with the plan period end date of 2035, which results in a total employment land requirement of 50 ha between 2018 and 2035.	To update the employment land requirement in line with the plan period end date of 2035.	
MM28	Table 9 (page 32)	See Associated Figures, Tables and Diagrams (Proposed Modifications) for the proposed modification.	To update the Table of employment land supply in line with recent monitoring data.	AP25

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
MM29	Both Tables labelled 12, pages 40-41	Replace both tables. See <b>Associated Figures, Tables and Diagrams (Proposed Modifications)</b> for the proposed modification.	Replacement of Table 12. Changes made to enhance the clarity and understanding of the borough's Comparison Retail Supply.	MIQ 4.26
MM30	Table 10 & Policy LP9	[Table 10] Local Centres – <u>Sheffield Road</u>	To reflect policies map	
		LP9 Vitality and Viability of Centres <u>Role of centres</u>		MIQ 4.28, 4.29, 4.30, 4.31 <b>AP30</b>
		The council will support the role of the town, district, local service centres and local centres in providing shops and local services in safe, accessible and sustainable locations. New retail development within centres shown on the proposals map should make a positive contribution to the centre's viability and vitality, <u>and</u> be of an appropriate scale	To ensure that the policy is clear and reasonable, and is consistent with the NPPF.	
		To ensure the vibrancy, inclusiveness and economic activity of the borough's centres, main town centre uses including health, leisure, entertainment, community facilities, sports, offices, art, food and drink, cultural and tourism facilities will be encouraged supported.		
		Within centres and Chesterfield Town Centre Primary Shopping Area (PSA) planning permission will normally be granted for A1 retail uses. <u>For main Main</u> town centre uses other than A1 retail, <u>consideration will be given to the extent to which</u> <u>proposals accord with criteria a) to f) below:</u> will normally be permitted where they will:		

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		<ul> <li>a) not overwhelm the retail function of the centre, street or frontage where it is located by having have a detrimental positive impact on vitality and/or viability;</li> <li>b) provide active ground floor uses;</li> <li>c) contribute to an active, well used and safe environment in the evening with acceptable impacts on residential amenities;</li> <li>dc) cater for a wide public through diversity of leisure and cultural attractions and events;</li> <li>ed) contribute to an appropriate mix of licensed premises; and</li> <li>fc) contribute to efforts to tackle vacant, under-used and derelict buildings within centres, particularly in historic buildings.</li> <li>Within Secondary shopping areas of Chesterfield Town Centre planning permission will normally be granted for any main town centre uses.</li> <li>Residential uses (C3) will normally only be permitted at first floor level and above (with the exception of suitable provision for access)</li> <li>The Council will support the temporary occupation of empty buildings and cleared sites by creative industries and cultural and community organisations where they contribute to regeneration and enhance the character of the area'.</li> <li>Proposals for comprehensive redevelopment of a centre or part of a centre will be considered where the proposals can demonstrate the community benefits of redevelopment and justify any loss of retail facilities. The provision of new local centres may be considered where a need arises.</li> </ul>		
MM31	LP10	LP10 Retail Across the borough, a sequential approach will be used to assess sites for retail and	Changes made to ensure the policy accords with the NPPF. The wording has changed to remove the	MIQ 4.33, 4.34 <b>AP31</b>

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification			Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		centres and local centres to meet the requirements of national planning policy.		necessity for proposals within centres to submit an impact assessment (inconsistent with NPPF).		
		Staveley Town Centre and District Centres, Local, and Local Service Centres, in accordance with the NPPF: with the locally set thresholds below:		<ul> <li>Instead all retail and leisure proposals outside of a centre will require an impact assessment.</li> </ul>		
		<ul> <li>Within 500m of Chesterfield Town Centre, for all retail proposals with a floorspace of more than 500 sqm (gross internal floorspace)</li> <li>Within 500m of Staveley Town Centre and District Centres, for all retail proposals with a floorspace of more than 280 sqm (gross internal floorspace)</li> <li>Within 500m of Local and Local Service Centres, for all retail proposals with a</li> </ul>		also made to 'all other locations' to enhance		
		Uses Retail and Leisure	LocationWithin 500m of Chesterfield Town CentreWithin 500m of Staveley Town Centre and District CentresWithin 500m of Local and Local Service CentresAll other locations (not within Town, District or Local Centres)	Threshold500 sq. mor above(grossinternalfloorspace)280 sq. mor above(grossinternalfloorspace)internalfloorspace)internalfloorspace)internalfloorspace)internalfloorspace)internalfloorspace)internalfloorspace)internalfloorspace)internalfloorspace)internalfloorspace)internalfloorspace)internal	clarity.	
		-	e outside Use Class A1 that requers and wholesale premises, will			

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		<ul> <li>the identified retail Parks and may be permitted in other edge or out of centre locations if, due to reasons such as scale and servicing, the use would be unsuitable within a centre. In such cases, conditions will be applied where appropriate to define permissible changes of use and the range and type of goods or services sold.</li> <li>Individual small shops designed to serve local day to day needs will normally be permitted outside defined centres (as shown on the proposals map) subject to consideration of the impact test thresholds set out above.</li> <li>Applications for development that would result in the loss of isolated local shops will be considered under LP11 Social Infrastructure.</li> </ul>		
MM32	Policy LP11	LP11 Social InfrastructureLocation of new developmentSocial infrastructure facilities will be permitted in and on the edge of the town, district and local service centres where they are accessible by public transport, walking and cycling, unless they are meeting a specific local need. Opportunities to deliver new or enhanced provision as part of new developments will be maximised, depending on the capacity and suitability of existing services.Co-location of facilitiesThe co-location and multi-use of facilities will be encouraged. Where proposals involve the provision of new or expanded social infrastructure facilities, they should be well related to existing centres and settlements and public transport infrastructure, and should provide high standards of accessibility for all sectors of the community.	To accord with national guidance Paragraph: 001 Reference ID: 66-001- 20190722.	MIQ 8.1 AP78

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		<ul> <li>Improvement of existing facilities</li> <li>The quality, functionality and accessibility of existing social infrastructure facilities will be improved at sites including Chesterfield Royal Hospital, Walton Hospital and Chesterfield College, so as to allow for their future expansion. Masterplans will be required to accompany proposals to ensure the sustainable and co-ordinated development of the hospital and college sites.</li> <li>The amalgamation and loss of facilities</li> <li>Development will not be acceptable where it includes the change of use, amalgamation of uses or redevelopment of existing local community or recreational facilities, if it would result in the loss of a facility which is required to meet a local need or contributes to the network of facilities throughout the borough unless:</li> <li>a) There is an equivalent facility available in the locality or an equally accessible one is made available prior to the commencement of redevelopment to serve the same need; and</li> <li>b) It can be demonstrated through a viability assessment that the current use is economically unviable and all reasonable efforts have been made to let or sell the unit for the current use over a <u>continuous</u> 12 month period <u>that includes</u> advertisement for let or sale at a realistic price.</li> </ul>		
MM33	Paragraph 5.2	5.2 The appropriate mechanism for delivering infrastructure will vary between developments, but on-site infrastructure that primarily meets the need of the occupants of new development (e.g. landscaping, amenity open space, road access) will normally be dealt with via planning conditions or a Section 106 agreement. Other more strategic infrastructure requirements which are included on the Regulation 123 Infrastructure List will be met via the	To reflect CIL Regulations amendments 2019	АР79

Chesterfield Borough Local Plan	Proposed Modifications September 2019
---------------------------------	---------------------------------------

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL). The Council's Infrastructure Study & Delivery Plan sets out the Borough's strategic infrastructure requirements over the Local Plan period. The updated Delivery Plan is shown in Appendix A. The CIL charging schedule took effect in Chesterfield on 1 <sup>st</sup> April 2016. It sets out the types of eligible development and the rates which will be applied in each charging zone <sup>1</sup> .		
MM34	Paragraph 5.4	<ul> <li>5.4 As Infrastructure requirements are likely to change over time, the Infrastructure Study &amp; Delivery Plan will be updated and reviewed regularly. It provideds the starting point for Council to identify its priorities for the Community Infrastructure Levy (known as the CIL Regulation123 list). Whilst over time the Community Infrastructure Levy may provide significant contributions to infrastructure delivery, the Levy is intended to fill the funding gaps that remain once existing sources of funding have been taken into account. Core public funding will continue to bear the main burden of infrastructure funding. The Levy is intended to fill the funding gaps that remain once existing sources of funding have been taken into account. To ensure efficient and effective negotiation, applicants are advised to engage with the Council and its partners in pre-application discussions to ensure provide clarity over assessments of infrastructure requirements in advance of applications being submitted</li> </ul>	To reflect CIL Regulations amendments 2019; And to remove duplication	AP79
MM35	LP12	LP12 Infrastructure Delivery Developer contributions will be used to mitigate the impact of new development and ensure that appropriate infrastructure is in place to support growth. The Borough Council will normally require that on-site infrastructure requirements are met via planning conditions or a Section 106 agreement. Developers will be required to demonstrate that the necessary infrastructure (green, social and physical) will be	To reflect CIL Regulations amendments 2019	АР79

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Whilst the Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010 (as amended) do not allow CIL Charging Authorities to exert discretion on whether payments should be made, the council has made provision for 'exceptional circumstances relief' and 'payment in kind' under specific circumstances allowed for in the Regulations.

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		in place in advance of, or can be provided in tandem with, new development, and where appropriate arrangements are in place for its subsequent maintenance.		
		Where the provision of infrastructure is considered to be a strategic need and is included in the Council's CIL Regulation 123 list then development, if liable, will be required to contribute via the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL).		
		Section 106 contributions will not be sought for infrastructure projects that are included in the Council's CIL Regulation 123 list.		
		All infrastructure requirements will be co-ordinated and delivered in partnership with other authorities and agencies.		
		Where new development would result in the loss of existing essential infrastructure, appropriate replacement provision should be provided as part of the new development proposals.		
		A Changing Climate		
MM36	LP13	LP13 Renewable Energy	To accord with national guidance (Paragraph: 005	MIQ 7.35
		The Council will support proposals for renewable energy generation particularly where they have wider social, economic and environmental benefits, provided that the direct and cumulative adverse impacts of the proposals on the following assets are acceptable, or can be made so:	Reference ID: 5-005- 20150618)	AP71
		<ul> <li>a) the historic environment including heritage assets and their setting;</li> <li>b) natural landscape and townscape character;</li> <li>c) nature conservation;</li> </ul>		
		d) amenity – in particular through noise, dust, odour, and traffic generation.		
		Proposals will be expected to		

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		<ol> <li>reduce impact-in-on the character and appearance of the open countryside by locating distribution lines below ground where possible</li> <li>include provision to reinstate the site if the equipment is no longer in use or has been decommissioned</li> <li>incorporate measures to enhance biodiversity</li> <li>Wind Energy</li> </ol>		
		<ul> <li>Proposals for wind energy development will be supported where they:</li> <li>1) can be demonstrated to be community-led or set out are within an area defined as being suitable for wind energy development within an adopted Neighbourhood Plan; and or</li> <li>2) are outside areas identified in neighbourhood plans and are community-led; and 23) are able to demonstrate, following public consultation, that all material planning impacts identified by affected local communities have been adequately addressed; and 34) meet criteria a) to d) above.</li> <li>In addition to meeting criteria 1. to 3. above, where wind energy development located within the Green Belt will would constitute inappropriate development_ and planning permission will not only be granted where unless very special circumstances (as set out in the NPPF) can be demonstrated.</li> </ul>		
		Renewable Heat New developments will connect to or be designed for future connection to community heating networks where appropriate. Where no district heating scheme exists or is proposed in the proximity of a major new development, the potential for developing a new scheme on the site should be explored and pursued where feasible. Priority sites for district heating include Staveley and Rother Valley Corridor,		

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		Town Centre Northern Gateway, and South of Chatsworth Road. Hydro Power Developments along the river and canal corridors (watercourses) will be expected to investigate the feasibility of using small scale hydro power. Preapplication advice from the Environment Agency is advised.		
MM37	Policy LP14	LP14 Managing the Water Cycle         Flood Risk         The council will require flood risk to be managed for all development commensurate with the scale and impact of the proposed development.         made safe for their lifetime without increasing flood risk elsewhere.	To bring the policy into line with NPPF and PPG guidance	AP69
		<ul> <li>Development proposals and site allocations will:</li> <li>a) be directed to locations with the lowest probability of flooding or water resources as required by the flood risk sequential test';</li> <li>b) be directed to locations with the lowest impact on water resources;</li> <li>c) be assessed for their contribution to reducing overall flood risk, taking into account climate change.</li> <li>Within areas of functional floodplain, development is expected to preserve or enhance the contribution of the area to water management / reducing flood risk.</li> <li>Outside flood zone 1, the redevelopment of previously developed land for uses not allocated in this Local Plan land will be permitted where proposals can demonstrate that:</li> </ul>	In response to representations from the Environment Agency	

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		<ul> <li>i. the development will deliver the economic, social and environmental regeneration of the borough that outweighs the risk of flooding and reduces flood risk overall;</li> <li>ii. the safety of the development and users from flooding can be achieved and, as a minimum, there will be no increase in on- or off-site flood risk demonstrated through a site-specific flood risk assessment;</li> <li>iii. the proposed uses are compatible with the level of flood risk, and;</li> <li>iv. a sequential approach to the location of uses has been taken within the site itself, including matching the vulnerability of uses to the risk of flooding.</li> <li>Improving the drainage network</li> <li>The council will seek opportunities to increase the capacity of the floodplain safely, make space for water across the whole borough, and to remove problems from the drainage network, particularly in connection with new development.</li> <li>Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) and clear arrangements for their on-going maintenance over the lifetime of the development should be incorporated into all relevant major development, unless it can be demonstrated that this is not appropriate in a specific location. The council will seek the maximum possible reduction in surface water run-off rates based on the SFRA or most recent national guidance.</li> <li>Protecting the Water Environment</li> <li>Development proposals will-be expected to be encouraged to demonstrate that water is available to support the development proposed, and that they will meet the optional Building Regulation water efficiency standard of 110 litres per occupier per</li> </ul>	To clarify the application of the policy to determining planning applications.	
		day <del>.</del>	the higher standard.	
		Environmental Quality		

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
MM38	Paragraph 7.3	7.4       When sites containing 'best and most versatile" agricultural land are developed, current accepted best practice should be followed to better protect the soil resource. The Construction Code of Practice for the Sustainable Use of Soils on Construction Sites (DEFRA 2009) currently provides best practice guidance.	After paragraph 7.3 add a new paragraph 7.4, as an additional modification to improve the clarity of the Plan.	MIQ 7.9
MM39	Policy LP15	LP15 A Healthy Environment The quality of the environment will be recognised at all levels of the planning and development process with the aim of protecting and enhancing environmental quality.		АР70
		All developments will be required to have an acceptable impact on the amenity of users or <u>and</u> adjoining occupiers, taking into account noise and tranquillity <u>disturbance</u> , dust, odour, air quality, traffic, <del>appearance</del> <u>outlook</u> , overlooking, shading (daylight and sunlight) and glare and other environmental impacts.	To make the policy clearer and more effective in respect of the considerations of impact on amenity.	MIQ 7.7
		Where appropriate, development proposals will include an assessment of impact on air quality and incorporate measures to avoid or mitigate increases in air pollution and minimise the exposure of people to poor air quality. Development that would make <u>the air quality in</u> a declared Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) materially worse <u>either in isolation or cumulatively when considered in combination with</u> <u>other planned development,</u> will not <del>normally</del> be permitted. New development will have regard to the measures set out in any Air Quality Action Plan.	To ensure a clear and effective policy and consistency with paragraph 181 of the NPPF.	MIQ 7.8
		Water Contamination		

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		<ul> <li>Development proposals will be expected to contribute positively to the water environment and its ecology, and should not adversely affect surface or ground water quality, in line with the requirements of the Water Framework Directive</li> <li>Where any such risk exists, developments must include measures to reduce or avoid water contamination and safeguard groundwater supply.</li> <li>Soil and Agricultural Land Quality</li> <li>Development of "best and most versatile" agricultural land will only be permitted supported where it can be demonstrated that: <ul> <li>a) The need for the development clearly outweighs the need to protect such land in the long term; and or,</li> <li>b) Other sustainability considerations suggest that the use of the higher quality agricultural land is preferable to the use of poorer quality agricultural land; or</li> <li>c) In the case of temporary/potentially reversible development (for example, minerals), that the land would be reinstated to its pre-working quality_; ; and,</li> <li>d) There are no suitable alternative sites on previously developed or lower quality land</li> </ul> </li> <li>The Council will require all applications for major development on such land to include realistic proposals to demonstrate that soil resources were will be protected and used sustainably, in line with accepted best practice.</li> </ul>	To make the policy clearer and more effective and also to ensure that the policy is consistent with paragraph 170 and footnote 53 of the NPPF.	MIQ 7.10

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		<ul> <li>Unstable and Contaminated Land</li> <li>Proposals for development on land that is, or is suspected as-of being, contaminated or unstable will only be permitted if the mitigation and/or remediation are feasible to make the land is capable of remediation and fit for the proposed use and shall include:</li> <li>a) a phase I land contamination report, including where necessary a land stability risk assessment desk top survey with the planning application; and</li> <li>b) a phase II study and strategy for land contamination report where the phase I report (a) indicates it is necessary, and</li> <li>c) and a strategy for any necessary mitigation and/or remediation may be necessary, on any full or reserved matters planning applications</li> <li>A programme of mitigation, remediation and validation must be agreed before the implementation of any planning permission on contaminated and/or unstable land. The requirement to undertake this programme will be secured using planning conditions.</li> </ul>	To clarify and improve the effectiveness of the policy by explicitly requiring all aspects of a phase I report (including site reconnaissance and a conceptual model)'. Explicitly requiring land stability risk assessment to cover the requirement for Coal Mining Risk Assessments. Also, seeking a mitigation and/or remediation strategy where necessary, as for some site's mitigation might be appropriate and remediation not required. The reference to full or reserved matters has been removed as some outline schemes will require the depth of a phase II	MIQ 7.11

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
			assessment to	
			demonstrate that the land	
			is capable of development	
			for the use proposed.	
MM40	Policy LP16	LP16 Green Infrastructure		AP80
		Chesterfield borough's green infrastructure network will be recognised at all levels		
		of the planning and development process with the aim of protecting enhancing,		
		linking and managing the network, and creating new green infrastructure where		
		necessary. Development proposals should demonstrate that they will not adversely		
		affect, or result in the loss of, green		
		infrastructure, unless suitable mitigation measures or compensatory provision are provided.		
		Development proposals should, where appropriate relevant:		
		a) Not conflict with the aim and purposes of the Green Belt (as set out in the	To ensure consistency and	
		NPPF); and	cross referencing with the	MIQ 8.2, 8.4
		b) Not harm the character and function of the Green Wedges and Strategic Gaps;	NPPF in terms of Green	
		and	Belt.	
		a) not harm the character or function of the Green Belt, Green Wedges and	There are no existing or	
		Strategic Gaps, and Local Green Spaces shown on the adopted Proposals Map	proposed Local Green	
		c) b) e-Enhance connectivity between, and public access to, green infrastructure;	Spaces and so the	
		and	reference to them in the	
		d) c) (i) Protect and enhance access to the multi-user trails network as shown on	policy is removed.	
		the Policies Map; and (ii) Protect and increase the opportunities for cycling,		
		walking and horse riding; and	To clarify that mutli-user	
		e) + Enhance the multi-functionality of the Borough's formal and informal parks	trails including strategic	MIQ 8.23
		and open spaces <u>; and</u>	cross-boundary ones are	
		<u>f)</u> e) Protect or enhance Landscape Character <u>; and</u>	protected by the policy.	

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		<ul> <li>g) f) <u>i</u>Increase tree cover in suitable locations in the borough to enhance landscape character, amenity and air quality; <u>and</u></li> <li><u>h</u>)-g)-Where new green infrastructure is proposed, there must be clear funding and delivery mechanisms in place for its long term management and maintenance, prior to the development commencing.</li> <li><u>Where necessary and appropriate D-d</u>evelopment will be expected to make a contribution through <u>planning</u> obligations or CIL towards the establishment, enhancement and on-going management of green infrastructure by contributing to the development of a strategic green infrastructure network within Chesterfield Borough<sub>72</sub>.</li> <li><u>The council will require with planning applications the submission of ecological surveys and assessments of the biodiversity and geological value of sites</u></li> </ul>	To clarify a proportionate and reasonable approach will be taken to planning obligations and CIL. The requirement in the last paragraph of Policy	
		proportionate to the nature and scale of the development.	LP16 duplicates a requirement in Policy LP18 and is not necessary.	MIQ 8.6
MM41	Policy LP17	<ul> <li>LP17 Biodiversity, Geodiversity and the Ecological Network</li> <li>The Council will expect development proposals to: - <ul> <li>protect, enhance and contribute to the management of the borough's ecological network of habitats, protected and priority species and sites of international, national and local importance (statutory and non-statutory), including sites that meet the criteria for selection as a local wildlife site or priority habitat; and</li> <li>avoid and/or minimise adverse impacts on biodiversity and geodiversity; and</li> <li>provide a net measurable gain in biodiversity.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	To ensure clarity on the nature of the protection afforded to sites and species. To ensure consistency with the current NPPF and National Planning Practice Guidance Paragraph: 018 Reference ID: 8-018- 20190721.	MIQ 7.12 DWT (45) 1to7 AP72

Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		<ul> <li>i) The provision of new, restored and enhanced habitats and links between habitats that make a positive contribution to the coherence of ecological networks; and</li> <li>ii) promoting the recovery of protected species and species identified as a priority in the Local Biodiversity Action Plan (or subsequent equivalent evidence).; and</li> <li>iii) the retention of existing features of ecological value.</li> <li>If significant harm to biodiversity resulting from a development cannot be avoided, or through conditions or planning contributions adequately mitigated, or, as a last resort, compensated for (including off-setting), then planning permission will be refused.</li> <li>Sites of International and National Importance</li> <li>Where a site of designated international importance would be adversely affected (either individually or cumulatively) by a development within the Borough, permission will be refused unless it has been demonstrated that there are no other alternatives; or there are imperative reasons of overriding public interest; and that compensatory measures will be provided to ensure the overall coherence of the network of sites is protected. Where the impact of a development (either individually or cumulatively) within the Borough, on a site of designated national importance (such as SSIS)</li> <li>would be adverse, permission will be refused unless the _benefits of the development would demonstrably outweigh both the impacts that it is likely to have on the features of the site that make it of national importance and any broader impacts; and a suitable compensation/off-setting strategy has been secured with planning conditions or obligations.</li> </ul>		

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		Development proposals resulting in the loss or deterioration (including fragmentation) of;-sites of local importance (such as Local Wildlife Sites and priority habitats) will not normally be permitted, unless the need for, and_benefits of, the development in that location demonstrably outweigh the loss or harm and a suitable compensation/off-setting strategy has been secured with planning conditions or obligations		
		Development proposals resulting in the loss or deterioration (including fragmentation) of; irreplaceable habitats (such as ancient woodland and ancient or veteran trees); will be refused, unless there are wholly exceptional reasons and the need for, and <b>public</b> benefits of, the development in that location demonstrably outweigh the loss or harm, and a suitable compensation/offsetting strategy has been secured with planning conditions or obligations.		
		The council will require the submission with planning applications of ecological surveys and assessments of the biodiversity and geological value of sites as set out in a list of local requirements.		
		The Council will require the submission with planning applications of ecological surveys and assessments of the biodiversity, ecological and geological value of sites, where this is clearly justified, including as set out in a local list of validation requirements. This includes where development could have a significant impact on biodiversity, geodiversity and the ecological network. The surveys and assessments should be proportionate to the nature and scale of proposed development and its likely impact on biodiversity, geodiversity, geodiversity and the ecological network.		

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
MM42	Paragraph 7.16	7.16 Open space, play provision and sports facilities are important elements of both green infrastructure and social infrastructure, enabling healthy lifestyles and positive community relationships. <u>Open Space for the purposes of the</u> <u>Plan is taken to mean all open space of public value, including not just land,</u> <u>but also areas of water (such as rivers, canals, lakes and reservoirs) which</u> <u>offer important opportunities for sport and recreation and can act as a</u> <u>visual amenity.</u>	To clarify what is meant by 'open space' whilst maintaining consistency with the current NPPF.	АР73
MM43	Policy LP18	<ul> <li>LP18 Open Space, Play Provision, Sports Facilities and Allotments</li> <li>Where proposed development would result in a need for new open space and outdoor sports facilities and/or exacerbate existing deficiencies in provision a need is identified, development must contribute to public open space, sports facilities and play provision in accordance with the Council's adopted standards as set out in Appendix B of the Local Plan and in line with the following requirements:         <ul> <li>a) On-site in a suitable location taking account of accessibility wherever possible; or</li> <li>b) Where on site provision is not feasible or suitable, as a financial contribution to the creation of a new facility off-site or the upgrading and improvement of an existing facility, secured by planning obligation or CIL; and or</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	To clarify the policy in terms of it not seeking contributions from new development towards existing deficits of open space provision. A modification to clarify that criterion c) is relevant to public open space and not to private or indoor sports facilities.	MIQ 7.27 and 7.2
		<ul> <li>c) Where new public open space is to be provided on site, A-a smultifunctional, fit for purpose space that supports local communities health and wellbeing and activity levels and the ecological network; and</li> <li>Contributions to off-site provision will be secured through CIL and /or S106</li> </ul>	To ensure consistency with paragraph 97 of the NPPF.	

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		<ul> <li>On site provision will be incorporated into development proposals with suitable management and maintenance arrangements secured through S106 agreements.</li> <li>Planning permission will not be granted for development which would have a negative impact on, or result in the loss of, open space, play provision and sports facilities unless: <ul> <li>i. The site is clearly surplus to requirements and the land is not needed or is not suitable to meet a deficiency in a different type of open space provision; or</li> <li>ii. Equivalent or better alternative open space provision in terms of quantity, quality and accessibility can will be provided on a replacement site; or</li> <li>iii. The loss of the open space will enable the enhancement of the existing open space network serving the locality affected, in a manner that will result in material planning benefits in terms of increased public health and well-being or other ecosystem services that demonstrably outweigh the loss of open space;</li> <li>iv The site is unallocated and its loss or development would not detract from visual amenity and local character, and it is not a community facility</li> <li>iii. the development is for alternative sports and/or recreational provision, the benefits of which clearly outweigh the loss of the current or former use.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		
MM44	Policy LP19	LP19 Chesterfield Canal The council will safeguard the route of Chesterfield Canal as shown on the policies map. Development which prejudices the existing character of and/or the future potential for the improvement and enhancement of the Chesterfield Canal, including	To provide clear cross reference to housing allocations and the site's contribution to housing supply.	АР76

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		public access, environment and recreation, will not be permitted.		
		Proposals for development associated with the recreation and leisure potential of the canal will be permitted close to its route provided that they do not have an adverse impact on habitats, the environment or public health and accord with other relevant policies of the plan.		
		Where new development, including infrastructure, is proposed adjacent to the canal, it will be required to conserve and enhance the route of the canal, including restoring the canal along its original route wherever possible. New developments should include provision for safe and convenient walking and cycling access to the canal.		
		On land at Staveley Basin, as shown on the policies map, and subject to an approved masterplan for the whole site, <u>the council will support</u> planning <del>permission will be granted</del> applications that assist in the delivery of for development that delivers:		
		<ul> <li>An events area adjacent to the canal; and</li> <li>Moorings and facilities for visiting boats; and</li> <li>A mix of uses including residential (C3) (as set out in policy LP4, site H21), food and drink uses (A3 and A5), and/or business and light industrial use (B1(a) and B1(b))</li> </ul>		
MM45	Policy LP20	LP20 River Corridors Development which prejudices the existing <u>biodiversity, ecological value and</u> character of and/or the future potential for the improvement and enhancement of the environment <u>and character</u> of <u>the</u> river <del>s</del> <u>corridors</u> as shown on the policies map, including <u>biodiversity, habitat connectivity</u> , public access and recreation <del>as shown</del> <del>on the proposals map</del> , will not be permitted.	To recognise the importance of the existing river corridors to wildlife and the ecological network and their potential for enhancements and provide appropriate safeguarding, consistent	MIQ 7.15

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		New development proposals on or adjacent to a river corridor should investigate	with paragraph 174 of the	
		the creation, and management, of ecological buffer strips and corridors to preserve and enhance the biodiversity of the area.	NPPF.	
		New development proposals on or adjacent to a river corridor should <u>also</u> include provision for safe and convenient walking and cycling access wherever possible.		
		Planning <u>applicationspermission will be granted supported</u> for proposals that result <u>Proposals for in</u> the removal or enhancement of existing weirs to allow for improved fish passage, and for hydroelectric power schemes <u>will be supported</u> subject to the provisions above and other relevant policies of the plan.		
		Design and the Built Environment		
MM46	Policy LP21	LP21 Design All development should identify <u>and</u> , respond <u>positively</u> to <del>and integrate with</del> the character of the site and surroundings and respect the local distinctiveness of its context. <u>The Council will support outstanding or innovative designs which promote</u> <u>high levels of sustainability, or help raise the standard of design more generally in</u> <u>an area, provided that they complement the character and appearance of their</u>	To ensure consistency with paragraph 131 of the NPPF and also more generally to seek good quality building design in the borough. To avoid restricting	MIQ 7.31, 7.32 AP60 AP62
		All development will be expected to:	diversity in building and site design e.g. static caravans, where such	
		<ul> <li>a) promote innovative forms and good building designs that positively contributes to the distinctive character of the borough, enriches the quality of existing places and enhances the quality of new places;</li> </ul>	diversity would not detract from visual amenity or local character. To increase both clarity	
			and flexibility in terms of the requirements for proposed major	

Reference F	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
	Page	<ul> <li>b) respect the character, form and setting of the site and surrounding area by virtue of its function, appearance and architectural style, landscaping, scale, massing, detailing, height and materials;</li> <li>c) be at a density appropriate to the character of the area whilst not excluding higher densities in and close to <u>designated local</u>, <u>district and town</u> centres;</li> <li>d) contribute to the vitality of its setting through the arrangement of active frontages, accesses, and functions, including servicing;</li> <li>e) ensure that the interface between <u>building plots and streets and also the boundaries of development sites</u> development boundaries and their surroundings are attractive and take account of the relationship between public and private spaces;</li> <li>f) provide appropriate connections both on and off site, including footpath and cycle links to adjoining areas to integrate the development with its surroundings;</li> <li>g) provide adequate and safe vehicle access and parking;</li> <li>h) provide safe, convenient and attractive environment for pedestrians and cyclists;</li> <li>i) preserve or enhance the landscape character and biodiversity assets of the borough;</li> <li>j) be designed to be adaptable and accessible for all;</li> <li>k) have an acceptable impact on the amenity of users and neighbours;</li> <li>l) be designed to be safe and secure and to create environments which reduce the potential for crime;</li> </ul>	development to demonstrate climate change mitigation and adaptation measures.	

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		m) minimise the impact of light pollution		
		n) be able to withstand any long-term impacts of climate change		
		Reducing Emissions		
		All- <u>Major</u> development should, as far as <u>is feasible and financially viable possible</u> , contribute towards the reduction of <u>minimise CO2 emissions during construction</u> <u>and occupation</u> , and <u>also maximise both the use of and the generation</u> of renewable energy.		
		Planning applications for <u>major</u> new development should be accompanied by a statement <u>(as part of or in addition to a design and access statement)</u> which sets out how the development <u>would do this in terms of</u> :		
		<ul> <li><u>following the steps in the energy hierarchy by seeking to use less</u> <u>energy, source energy efficiently, and make use of renewable energy</u> <u>before efficiently using fossil fuels from clean technologies:</u></li> <li><u>optimising the efficient use of natural resources;</u></li> <li><u>reducing emissions through orientation and design.</u></li> </ul>		
		<ul> <li>i. makes effective use of resources and materials through sustainable design and construction</li> <li>ii. minimises water use and provide for waste reduction and recycling</li> <li>iii. uses an energy hierarchy that seeks to use less energy, source energy efficiently, and make use of renewable energy</li> <li>iv. is sited and designed to withstand the long-term impacts of climate change</li> </ul>		
		When considering the feasibility and viability of reducing emissions and also use of renewable energy in any major development, the Council will take into account		

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		matters such as the development's scale and nature, its operational requirements,		
		any site-specific constraints and also the need to meet other planning policy		
		requirements.		
		The Council will consider the extent to which sustainability has informed the design		
		of proposals, taking account of:		
		Impact on viability		
		Scale and nature of development		
		Operational requirements of the proposed use		
		Site specific constraints		
		<ul> <li>The need to meet other planning policy requirements</li> </ul>		
		Percent for Art		
		For major developments with a value in excess of £1 million, the council will seek to		
		negotiate a scheme of public artwork, subject to consideration of the impact on the		
		viability of the development, secured by planning obligations and/or conditions		
		where necessary.		
		On major developments, the council will encourage developers to incorporate		
		public art on site into structures and public spaces where reasonable.		
MM47	Policy LP22, p.	LP22 Historic Environment	To ensure Criterion g) of	MIQs 7.17, 7.18,
	71		Policy LP22 can be applied	7.19 <b>AP63</b>
		The council will give great weight to the conservation of designated heritage assets	proportionately depending	
		and their setting protect the historic environment and heritage assets throughout	on the significance of the	
		the borough and seek to enhance them wherever possible.	asset and the extent of the anticipated harm.	
		In order to ensure that All-new development must conserves or enhances		
		designated and non-designated heritage assets and their settings the local		

Chesterfield Borough Local Plan Pr	oposed Modifications September 2019

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		character and distinctiveness of the area in which it would be situated, the council will:		
		The council will do this through:		
		<ul> <li>a) Apply a presumption against development that would unacceptably detract from views of St Mary's Church (The Crooked Spire) by virtue of its height, location, bulk or design;</li> <li>b) the-protection of Designated Heritage Assets and their settings including Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, Scheduled Monuments and Registered Parks and Gardens;</li> <li>c) the use of Conservation Area Appraisals and associated Management Plans to ensure the conservation or enhancement of the individual character of each of the borough's conservation areas;</li> <li>d) the identification identify and, where appropriate, protection of important archaeological sites and historic environment features;</li> <li>e) the identification identify and, where appropriate, protection of nondesignated heritage assets of local significance, set out in and referred to as The Local List;</li> <li>f) Eenhancing enhance the character and setting of Queens Park, Chesterfield</li> </ul>		
		Market Place, the Hipper River Valley, Chesterfield Canal and locally important Historic Parks and Gardens.		
		g) Requiring development proposals within the Town Centre Historic Core to be accompanied by appropriate levels of archaeological assessment within the Town Centre Core and other areas of archaeological significance, require relevant development proposals to demonstrate careful consideration of archaeological impact.		
		Where a development is likely to result in harm to, or a degree of loss of the significance of designated heritage assets and/or their setting, planning applications should be accompanied by an assessment evidence that sets out:		

Reference Pa	olicy/ aragraph/ age	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
	age	<ul> <li><sup>z</sup></li> <li>1. <u>a description of the significance of the affected assets and their setting and an assessment of the nature and degree of impact on this;</u></li> <li>2. <u>an evaluation of how harm or loss would be avoided, minimised or mitigated; and</u></li> <li>3. <u>a clear and convincing justification for the development and the resulting harm or loss.</u></li> <li><u>Development that would result in substantial harm to or total loss of significance to a designated heritage asset will not be permitted unless:</u></li> <li><u>Either:</u> <ul> <li>i) <u>it can be demonstrated that the substantial harm or total loss is necessary to achieve substantial public benefits that outweigh that harm or loss;</u></li> </ul> </li> <li>or all of the following apply: <ul> <li>ii) <u>the nature of the heritage asset prevents all reasonable uses of the site; and</u></li> <li>iii) <u>no viable use of the heritage asset itself can be found in the medium term through appropriate marketing that will enable its conservation; and</u></li> <li>iv) <u>conservation by grant-funding or some form of not for profit, charitable or public ownership is demonstrably not possible; and</u></li> </ul> </li> </ul>	To ensure that policy LP22 is effective and consistent with national policy. Reference to the need for a balanced judgement is consistent with the NPPF's treatment of non- designated assets.	
		<ul> <li>V) the harm or loss is outweighed by the benefit of bringing the site back into use.</li> </ul>		

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		The council will consider the use of measures including Article 4 directions and Local Development Orders where they are appropriate to ensure the conservation and enhancement of heritage assets. The council has a presumption in favour of retaining <u>non-designated</u> heritage assets on The Local List. Development that involves substantial harm or loss of a non- designated heritage asset will <del>not be acceptable unless it can be demonstrated</del> that <u>be assessed as part of a balanced judgment which considers whether</u> : i <u>Whether or not</u> the asset is structurally unsound and poses a safety risk; it is unviable to repair <u>the viability of repairing</u> or maintain <u>ing</u> the asset; whether or not alternative uses have been fully explored; whether or not the proposal it would have wider social, economic or environme benefits as part of a masterplanned regeneration scheme Where a proposal that involves unavoidable harm or loss of a <u>non-designated</u> heritage asset on The Local List meets the criteria above, the council will seek a replacement development <u>which is of an equivalent standard of design to the non- designated heritage asset</u> of a similar quality, <u>and</u> where possible retaining-retains the features of the <b>non-designated</b> heritage asset.		
		In the exceptional circumstances where loss or partial loss of designated or non- designated heritage asset Where the council is satisfied that the loss of heritage assets (both designated and non-designated) is considered to be justified, the council will require the developer to have the asset surveyed and recorded by a suitably qualified professional prior to the development commencing, and the records made publically available. in advance of any alterations, demolition or groundwork. The surveying and recording will be required to be carried out in a manner proportionate to the importance of the asset and the impact of the development. A report detailing the investigation should be made publicly available and deposited through Derbyshire's Historic Environment Record.	To ensure that the last paragraph within Policy LP22 regarding the justified loss of heritage assets is consistent with national policy.	

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
	1	Travel and Transport		
MM48	LP23	<ul> <li>LP23 Influencing the Demand for Travel</li> <li>To reduce congestion, improve environmental quality and encourage more active and healthy lifestyles, the Council will seek to maximise walking, cycling and the use of public transport through the location and design of development and parking provision. Priority will be given to measures to encourage more sustainable travel choices.</li> <li>To secure this aim, the council will expect development proposals to demonstrate the following (in order or priority) in order of priority:</li> <li>a) site specific and area wide travel demand management (measures to reduce travel by private car and incentives to use walking, cycling and public transport for appropriate journeys; including intensive-travel b) planning);</li> <li>e) b) improvements to walking and cycling facilities and public transport services that are provided early in the build out period of new developments and that are sufficient to encourage sustainable modes of transport;</li> <li>d) c) optimisation of the existing highway network to prioritise walking, cycling and public transport that are provided early in the build out period of new developments, such as measures to prioritise the needs of pedestrians above the car and improved or new cycle and bus lanes such as measures to prioritise the needs of pedestrians above the car and improved or new cycle and bus lanes, provided early in the build out period of new developments; and d e) mitigation including highway capacity enhancements to deal with residual car demand where the initiatives required under points (a) to (c) above are insufficient to avoid significant impacts from the development on the transport network in terms of capacity and congestion additional car journeys."; and e f) Provision of opportunities for charging electric vehicles where appropriate.</li> </ul>	To clarify application of the policy when determining planning applications	MIQ 7.22, 7.23, 8.22 AP65 AP66 AP67

Chesterfield Borough Local Plan Proposed Modifications September 20	)19

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		<ul> <li>The impacts of any remaining traffic growth expected, shall be mitigated through physical improvements to the highways network where necessary, to ensure that development has an acceptable impact on the functioning and safety of the highway network.</li> <li>Development proposals will not be permitted where they would have an unacceptable impact on highway safety, or the residual cumulative impacts on the road network would be severe.</li> <li>The level of vehicle and cycle parking provision appropriate to any individual proposal will take into account the circumstances of the particular scheme, including in particular: <ul> <li>i. The size of the any dwellings proposed.</li> <li>ii. The type, mix and use of the development.</li> <li>iii. The proximity of facilities such as schools, shops or employment.</li> <li>iv. The availability of and capacity for safe on-street and public car parking in the area.</li> <li>v. Proximity to and availability of public transport and other sustainable transport options.</li> <li>vi. The likelihood that any existing on-street parking problems in terms of highway safety, congestion, pedestrian and cyclist accessibility and amenity will be made worse.</li> <li>vii. Local car ownership levels</li> </ul> </li> <li>Cycle parking, where provided as part of new development, should be located to ensure safe, secure and convenient access, with weather protection where possible. The council will prepare an SPD to provide further practical guidance on planning for walking and cycling within new development.</li> </ul>		

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		All residential proposals with off-street parking provision dedicated to individual		
		properties should include provision for charging electric vehicles on each property.		
		Residential and commercial proposals with shared provision-should include spaces		
		with charging provision where practical.		
		Any necessary mitigation measures should be set out in development proposals,		
		including within Transport Statements, Transport Assessments and Travel Plans		
		where these are required, and secured through conditions and/or legal agreements.		
		Priority areas for combinations of sustainable transport measures and highways		
		improvements will be:		
		• The A61 Corridor		
		• The A619 Chatsworth Road		
		• The A619 corridor through Brimington and Staveley		
		Chesterfield Town Centre		
		Access to Chesterfield Railway Station		
		For masterplanned or phased developments, provision for the monitoring of traffic		
		impact and mitigation of identified problems will be made through the use of legal		
		agreements.		
		Regeneration Priority Areas		
MM49	Policy	RP1 Regeneration Priority Areas		MIQ 5.53, 5.55
		Within the RPA boundary as shown on the Policies Map, for major developments	To ensure that the policy is clearly written, justified	and 5.56
		the Council will expect a masterplanned approach to deliver sustainable high-quality	and appropriate.	AP37
		residential development, <del>enhanced community facilities</del> , respecting the constraints		AP38
		of the area and sensitive to the adjoining open countryside and existing residential		AP40
		communities.		

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
	Page	<ul> <li>Masterplans are expected to investigate the potential to, and support projects that, improve the quality of the area and the existing housing stock through refurbishment and/or redevelopment.</li> <li>Within the RPA boundaries as shown on the policies map, the Council will grant planning permission for development which supports regeneration and where it would: <ul> <li>a) Extend the type, tenure and quality of housing; <u>and</u></li> <li>b) Deliver environmental and biodiversity benefits; <u>and</u></li> <li>c) Provide additional community facilities Support or enhance existing services and community facilities; and</li> <li>d) Provide recruitment, training and procurement to benefit the local economy (via a Local Labour Agreement in line with PolicyLP7), with the priority being to</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		
		<ul> <li><u>maximise training and employment opportunities to for existing residents of the</u></li> <li><u>RPA within which the development is located, by entering into a Local Labour</u></li> <li>Agreement; <u>and</u></li> <li>e) Increase trees and tree groups to enhance landscape character; <u>and</u></li> <li>(f) Have an acceptable impact on the wider highway network (taking account of cumulative effects of other developments within the RPAs) and provide any necessary mitigation.</li> </ul>		
		<ul> <li>The level of housing growth for each RPA may be exceeded if the landscape, infrastructure and highways impacts (including cross-boundary impacts) are acceptable and if the additional growth is needed to secure regeneration benefits as demonstrated through a viability appraisal.</li> <li>Within the Barrow Hill Regeneration Priority Area, development is expected to: <ul> <li>Deliver approximately a minimum of 35 50 new homes on site H20; and</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		

Chesterfield Borough Local Plan Proposed Modifications September 2019
-----------------------------------------------------------------------

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		<ul> <li>ii. Conserve or enhance the character or setting of heritage assets <u>Promote</u> <u>design that positively contributes to the surrounding area and conserves or</u> <u>enhances heritage assets including Barrow Hill Conservation Area; and</u></li> <li>iii. Support the activities of Barrow Hill Roundhouse as a visitor attraction and centre for employment; <u>and</u></li> <li>iv. Provide safe and convenient walking and cycling connections to New Whittington, heritage assets at Chesterfield Canal, and the Staveley and Rother Valley Corridor.</li> <li>Within the Duckmanton Regeneration Priority Area, development is expected to: <ol> <li>Deliver <u>a minimum of approximately 310</u> 400 new homes <u>on sites H26 and H34; and</u></li> <li>Provide safe and convenient walking and cycling access to job opportunities at Markham Vale; <u>and</u></li> <li>Provide safe and convenient walking and cycling connections to Poolsbrook and Poolsbrook Country Park; <u>and</u></li> <li>Promote <del>building</del> design that positively contributes to the surrounding area and <u>conserves or enhances</u> heritage assets including <u>Poplar Farmhouse</u>, Duckmanton Model Village and Long Duckmanton; <u>and</u></li> <li>Deliver highway and pedestrian improvements at Tom Lane and Duckmanton Road.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>		
		Within the Holme Hall Regeneration Priority Area, development is expected to:i.Deliver a minimum of approximately 300 new homes (as allocated on site XX in table XX) on site H33 in line with the adopted masterplan; and Deliver a sustainable high quality residential development Support the existing services and community facilities on offer in and around the local service centre		

Modification Policy/ Reference Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
	<ul> <li>ii. Provide safe and convenient walking and cycling connections to Linacre Road, Wardgate Way (Local Service Centre) and the Holmebrook Valley Park and Trail; <u>and</u></li> <li>iii. Provide an appropriate buffer and boundary treatment to minimise and mitigate any adverse impacts upon the Ashgate Plantation Local Wildlife Site.</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Within the Mastin Moor Regeneration Priority Area, development is expected to: <ol> <li>Deliver 400 new homes</li> <li>Deliver up to 670 new homes on sites H1, H6 and H35; and</li> <li>Provide safe and convenient walking and cycling access to job opportunities at Markham Vale, the community garden and Norbriggs <u>and Woodthorpe</u> Primary Schools; <u>and</u></li> <li>Provide a new Local Centre with additional community facilities and the opportunity for provision of health facilities; <u>and</u></li> <li>Provide safe and convenient walking and cycling connections to the surrounding rights of way network, including connections to The Cuckoo Way and Chesterfield Canal; <u>and</u></li> <li>Promote design that positively contributes to the surrounding area, responding to and reflecting local <u>conserves or enhances</u> heritage assets in the design and location of new buildings where relevant, including the historic former pumping engine and tramway; <u>and</u></li> <li>Deliver a new and/or improved pedestrian and cycle crossing over the A619; <u>and</u></li> <li>Protect and enhance the setting of and access to the community garden; <u>and</u></li> <li>Minimise visual impact on the ridgelines along Worksop Road and Woodthorpe Road; <u>and</u></li> <li>Provide a defined edge of development and a clear break to prevent continued coalescence and extension of ribbon development along Worksop Road; and</li> </ol></li></ul>		

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		<ul> <li>x. Maintaining the distinct identities and settings of Mastin Moor and Woodthorpe through the use of landscaping and open space.</li> <li>Within the Poolsbrook Regeneration Priority Area, development is expected to:         <ol> <li>Deliver 100-a minimum of approximately 175 new homes on site H31; and</li> <li>Provide safe and convenient walking and cycling access to job opportunities at Markham Vale; and</li> <li>Provide safe and convenient walking and cycling connections to the surrounding rights of way network, including connections to Duckmanton and Poolsbrook Country Park; and</li> <li>Conserve or enhance the heritage assets Promote design that positively contribute to the surrounding area and conserves or enhances heritage assets including the surviving buildings of the Model Village and their setting.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>		
		Strategic Sites and Locations		
MM50	Paragraph 11.4	11.4. In 2015, the borough council approved an updated Town Centre Masterplan for Chesterfield. <u>Whilst not having formal status as a Development Plan Document</u> <u>or SPD, the masterplan</u> The masterplan sets out a long-term vision for Chesterfield Town Centre <u>and is a material consideration in determining planning applications</u> <u>within the town centre. The masterplans vision is for the town centre</u> to be:	To clarify the status of the Town Centre Masterplan	AP47
MM50	Following paragraph 11.6	Insert plan showing location of Town Centre Masterplan Character Areas including Spire Neighbourhood. See <b>Associated Figures, Tables and Diagrams (Proposed Modifications)</b> for the proposed modification.	To clarify the location of the Spire Neighbourhood	AP44
MM51	Paragraph 11.7 & 11.8	11.7 As a result of changes to the retail market generally and the large foodstore sector in particular, the council has chosen not to progress <u>the retail led scheme</u> <u>previously proposed by Wilson Bowden within the Northern Gateway areathe</u> development of the previously proposed Northern Gateway scheme (which was to	To clarify the status of the Northern Gateway Scheme	MIQ 5.14 AP46

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
MM52	Policy SS1	<ul> <li>have been a foodstore and retail led, mixed use extension of Chesterfield Town Centre) at this time. However The Northern Gateway has instead been progressed through the council has invested in replacing the aging Saltergate Multi Storey Car Park (currently under construction) and is committed to building a new enterprise centre at Holywell Cross (construction of which is expected to start in early 2020. The site still presents the key opportunity for any further physical expansion of the retail offer of Chesterfield Town Centre.</li> <li>11.8. In bringing these sites forwards for development the council will use a combination of masterplans, site-specific planning briefs, SPD's and Outline Planning Applications, with reference to other relevant policies of the Plan including CS15LP9. CS16LP2 and CS19LP22.</li> <li>SS1 Chesterfield Town Centre</li> <li>Subject to policy LP9 other relevant policies of the plan, the council will support planning applications that planning permission will be granted for development that contributes towards:         <ul> <li>a) Protecting and enhancing the centre's sub-regional and local role in providing housing, employment, services, leisure, cultural venues and retail</li> <li>b) Supporting the objectives of Chesterfield town centre masterplan</li> <li>c) Economic development and community safety by providing a diverse range of uses including retail, office, community facilities, leisure and food and drink uses</li> <li>d) Conserving and enhancing the historic character of the centre and the role of the Historic Market and Market Hall</li> <li>e) Improving accessibility between the centre and surrounding areas, including Chesterfield Railway Station, Waterside, Queen's Park, Chesterfield College and Ravenside Retail park</li> <li>f) Enhancing walking, cycling and public transport provision</li> </ul> </li></ul>	To align wording with other policies in regard to applying to planning applications. To clarify the approach to archaeological investigation within the Town Centre Archaeological alert area. To bring into line with the wording of the NPPF relating to primary and secondary frontages. To clarify the location of the Spire Neighbourhood.	MIQ 5.10, 5.11, 5.13 AP44 AP45 AP46 AP47 AP50

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
Reference		<ul> <li>g) Maintaining the overall level of provision of public car parking; new off street car parking will usually only be permitted when justified through a transport assessment or travel plan</li> <li>h) Reducing through traffic <ol> <li>Enhancing the range and quality of residential uses within Chesterfield town centre</li> <li>Undertaking appropriate assessment, evaluation and, if necessary, recording of archaeological remains within the Town Centre Historic Core (as set out on the policies map).</li> </ol> </li> <li>Outside of the Town Centre Primary and Secondary Shopping Areas, District and Local Centre areas as shown on the policies map and set out in policy LP9, planning permission will not normally be granted for new retail uses (A1) other than small shops as set out in policy LP10. Planning permission will normally be granted for other main town centre uses, including B1(a) offices, health and education uses subject to the other policies of this plan.</li> <li>Northern Gateway</li> </ul>	To clarify the approach to assessing the loss of car parking within the Spire Neighbourhood.	MIQ
		<ul> <li>policies map, will be safeguarded for the future expansion of Chesterfield Town Centre.</li> <li>Within this area, planning permission will only be granted for proposals that enhance and support the centre's sub regional role in providing <u>housing</u>, employment, services, leisure and retail and where they can demonstrate that they would not prejudice the future development of the site</li> <li>Spire Neighbourhood</li> </ul>		

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		Planning permission will be granted Proposals will be supported for new residential development on land to the east of between-St Mary's Gate and to the west of the A61 and to the north of Holywell Street and south of Brewery Street, subject to other relevant policies of the plan. Where development within this area results in the loss of public car parking, the effect of this on the viability of Chesterfield Town Centre should be assessed, and if necessary compensatory parking provided elsewhere within or closely related to Chesterfield Town Centre.		
MM53	Policy SS2	SS2 CHATSWORTH ROAD CORRIDOR         Development proposals will be supported where they Planning permission will only be granted for development that contributes towards:	To align wording with other policies in regard to applying to planning applications.	AP55
		<ul> <li>a) The vitality and viability of Chatsworth Road district centre</li> <li>b) Improving the West Bars gateway to Chesterfield town centre.</li> <li>c) Strengthening the links between the land south of Chatsworth Road with the Chatsworth Road district centre and surrounding communities.</li> <li>d) Providing a new variety of uses that will create a mixed, sustainable community.</li> <li>e) The improvement of identified transport and highway issues.</li> <li>f) The enhancement of walking, cycling and public transport provision</li> </ul>	Cross reference to policy LP7 added.	
		<ul> <li>accordance with policy LP9. Outside the district centre development will be focussed on new housing and compatible uses.</li> <li>Within the regeneration area south of Chatsworth Road a comprehensive scheme providing a mix of uses will be permitted supported in accordance with the adopted masterplan, including: employment generating uses on land at the former Boythorpe Works (in accordance with policy LP7), open space and housing. The</li> </ul>		

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		sites of Walton Works (including the re-use of the Grade II* listed building) and Griffin Mill/Wheatbridge Mill shall be for housing-led mixed use development ( <u>in</u> <u>accordance with policy LP4, Site H30</u> ). Development proposals for the Griffin Mill and Wheatbridge Mills area should include the re-use of the Grade II listed Cannon Mill. To ensure that development within the regeneration area south of Chatsworth Road provides a mixed, integrated, sustainable community within the Chatsworth Road Corridor, developments must demonstrate that they have:		
		<ul> <li>i. Taken a comprehensive approach to flood risk management;</li> <li>ii. Incorporated the Hipper Valley walking and cycle route and improved north-south walking and cycling links;</li> <li>iii. Integrated the site into a network of green spaces, such as Walton Dam,</li> <li>Somersall Park and the Walton river corridor green wedge, connected by the River Hipper and footpaths and cycleways</li> <li>iv. Considered the impact upon heritage assets and their setting and identified any means of mitigation and/or enhancement through submission of a Heritage Impact Assessment.</li> </ul>		
		Proposals for development should demonstrate how they accord with the adopted masterplan through site specific masterplans and/or development briefs.		
MM54	Policy SS3	SS3 Chesterfield Waterside and the Potteries         Within the Chesterfield Waterside area as set out on the policies map, the council         will support development proposals         planning permission will only be granted for         development that contributes	To enhance the accuracy of the policy to refer to the amount of development and also uses permitted on the site under section c) of policy SS3 as requested in	MIQ 5.21 63 (1-5 SLP) <b>AP43</b>
		<ul> <li>a) Creating jobs in office, industry, retail, tourism and education</li> <li>b) Restoring Chesterfield Canal and the River Rother to navigation and creating a new canal terminus</li> </ul>	representation 63 (1-5 SLP).	

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		<ul> <li>c) Achieving a mix of uses including residential (up to 1550 new homes), office (up to 30,000 sqm), employment, and leisure, health and fitness, hotels, creche, doctor's surgery and nursing home</li> <li>d) Improving access to the site including enhancing the footpath and cycle network through the site and making links to the wider Trans Pennine Trail and Chesterfield Railway Station</li> <li>e) A high quality urban environment including eco-park and green infrastructure corridor</li> <li>f) Managing flood risk</li> <li>Land within the Chesterfield Waterside area will be comprehensively redeveloped in accordance with an approved masterplan, including provision of a new Local Centre located adjacent to the existing canal basin.</li> <li>Planning applications submitted for development outside of the existing outline planning permission, but which otherwise deliver the objectives of the approved masterplan, will be expected to contribute towards the overall delivery of the infrastructure required for comprehensive development, secured through a section 106 agreement.</li> </ul>		
MM55	Policy SS5	<ul> <li>SS5 Staveley and Rother Valley Corridor</li> <li>The Borough Council will support the comprehensive redevelopment of the Staveley and Rother Valley Corridor to create a sustainable urban extension in a landscape setting through a masterplanned approach.</li> <li>The overall objectives of the masterplan will be to: <ul> <li>a) Deliver approximately 1500 new dwellings through a range of new housing opportunities focussed on the centre and western end of the corridor</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	To clarify the approach to assessing traffic impact in determining planning applications and to clarify the criteria are not exhaustive.	АР52

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		<ul> <li>b) Create employment opportunities focussed on the Hall Lane end of the corridor and around Works Road</li> <li>c) Deliver the section of the Chesterfield to Staveley Regeneration Route between Bilby Lane and Hall lane, connected to the route safeguarded under policy LP24 and the existing Staveley Northern Loop Road Phase 1</li> <li>d) Accommodate an Infrastructure Maintenance Dept to serve the eastern leg of HS2</li> <li>e) Provide a new local centre to serve both the development itself and adjacent communities of Barrow Hill and Hollingwood</li> <li>f) Make provision for a new primary school to serve the development</li> <li>g) Develop a sustainable community including on-site energy generation where possible and practicable</li> <li>h) Enhance the quality of and access to the landscape and green infrastructure, particularly the Chesterfield Canal and River Rother waterways</li> <li>i) Deliver access and transport improvements, emphasising sustainable transport</li> <li>j) Improve water management on site, including new wetland habitat associated with the River Rother</li> <li>k) Provide for the remediation and re-use of contaminated and unstable land where possible and practicable</li> <li>l) Conserve and enhance the quality of the historic environment, taking account of designated and non-designated heritage assets and their setting, within and closely related to the site</li> <li>m) Secure a structured approach to delivery of infrastructure to ensure it is delivered in a timely fashion to support new residential and employment communities and limit the need to travel off-site to access services</li> <li>n) Establish a network of open mosaic grassland habitats through the site to maintain and enhance brownfield biodiversity</li> <li>Development proposals for individual land parcels/phases must be brought forward as part of a comprehensive masterplan for the area and must demonstrate how they will deliver these objectives where appropriate.</li> </ul>		

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		Planning applications for development within this area will be expected to demonstrate how they have addressed these objectives.		
		Planning applications submitted for specific character areas and/or phases of development will be expected to contribute appropriately towards the overall delivery of the infrastructure required for comprehensive development, secured through a section 106 agreement.		
		Planning applications specifically for the Works Road and Lagoon Character Areas will be expected to include information demonstrating:		
		<ul> <li>i. A joint masterplan as part of a Design and Access Statement and evidence of how the application addresses this masterplan and the delivery of critical infrastructure; and</li> <li>ii. A Transport Assessment based on modelling of the overall impact of development and a Travel Plan setting out how the impact of the specific planning application traffic associated with the proposed development will be managed; and</li> <li>iii. A phasing plan setting out the approach to delivery of critical infrastructure, including transport and community infrastructure</li> </ul>		
		WORKS ROAD CHARACTER AREA		
		Planning permission will be granted for a mixed use development incorporating including:		
		<ul> <li>A new Local Centre on Works Road (use classes A1 to A5 and other Main Town Centre uses, to include a single foodstore of no more than 1000 sqm),</li> <li>Residential (C3)</li> <li>Up to 10 ha of employment space (B1, including B1(a) offices)</li> </ul>		

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
	Page	<ul> <li>Canal-related commercial activity including food and drink uses (A3 and A4) and employment (B1), including provision for moorings, in the location of the former canal wharf to the east of Hollingwood Lock</li> <li>Retention of the Clocktower building</li> <li>A site for a new Primary School</li> <li>Flood mitigation measures for the River Rother/Works Road bridge</li> <li>THE LAGOON CHARACTER AREA</li> <li>Planning permission will be granted for a residential led development incorporating including:</li> <li>Residential (C3)</li> <li>Retail (A1) to serve day to day needs, with no single unit to exceed 280 sqm net sales area</li> <li>Extension of the Bluebank Pools Local Nature Reserve (to the west of Bilby Lane within the land allocated on the Policies Map)</li> <li>Restoration of the former settling pond as public open space</li> <li>An enhanced landscape buffer between the site and Chesterfield Canal</li> <li>HALL LANE CHARACTER AREA – KEY OBJECTIVES</li> <li>Planning permission will be granted for an employment led development incorporating including:</li> <li>Proposals for the HS2 IMD</li> <li>Development of Approximately 30ha of Employment generating development within use classes B1, B2 and B8 or, subject to HS2 finalising the extent of the proposed IMD- (this development is expected to extend beyond the plan period, following implementation of the HS2 IMD).</li> </ul>		

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		<ul> <li>Housing (C3) led development for western end of the Character Area and ancillary uses where it is well-related to the existing settlement of Barrow Hill and Works Road</li> <li>The improvement of walking and cycling connections between Barrow Hill and Staveley Town Centre</li> <li>New wetland habitat in the south of the character area associated with the River Rother</li> </ul>		
MM56		Most up to date plan awaited from Chatsworth Settlement Trustees		
MM57	Policy SS6	<ul> <li>SS6 Land at Dunston</li> <li>Planning permission will be granted for residential development for approximately 800-500 dwellings on land north of Dunston and south east of Dunston Road as allocated on the policies map and as set out in table 4 (Site reference SS6).</li> <li>Development should be carried out in accordance with a masterplan to be agreed with the Local Planning Authority prior to development that demonstrates: <ul> <li>i. <u>Acceptable</u> Aaccess arrangements from Dunston Road and Dunston Lane</li> <li>ii. <u>Appropriate provision</u> Proposals for walking and cycling provision-within the site</li> <li>iii. <u>Appropriate transport mitigation to ensure an acceptable impact on the highway network for all users</u></li> <li>iv. <u>Appropriate mitigation to minimise any adverse impacts on nearby heritage assets and their settings</u></li> <li>v. Provision of a new local centre to serve development</li> <li>vi. <u>Provision of</u> a site reserved for a new one form entry primary school (to be retained until such time as required or evidence can be provided of a lack of need)</li> <li>vii. A scheme of green infrastructure including landscaping, open space, play and sports provision including re-instatement of the former cricket pitch,</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	To ensure that the policy is effective and clear. For clarity, reflecting the phase that is under construction, and to be in line with table 4. The boundary of the allocation on the policies map relating to policy SS6 has been extended (see policies map changes). The capacity in the policy reflects the developers estimate based on the extended site.	MIQ 5.40, 5.41, 5.42

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		and early implementation of a landscaping and planting scheme along Dunston Road viii. A phasing plan for development phases and the provision of infrastructure		
MM58	Paragraphs 11.32 and 1.36-11.37	<ul> <li>11.32. Chesterfield Railway Station is the first point of arrival or last point of departure for approximately 1.78 million passengers16., with usage growing by 3 - 5% per year. Over a million people live within a half hours drive time of the Station (AECOM research 2017). Currently three Train Operating Companies (East Midlands Trains, Northern Trains and the Cross Country franchise) call at the Station and it is the 6th busiest station in the East Midlands, with direct services available to London, Birmingham, Liverpool, the West Country and the North East and Scotland. The announcement in July 2017 that HS2 services to Sheffield will stop at the station when they commence in 2033 (subsequently revised as from 2035) creates the potential for further growth in services and investment.</li> <li>11.36. SCR has provided funding for further research and development of the Master Plan and its refinement, currently being prepared by Cushman &amp; Wakefield, Arup and Whittham Cox Architects This strategy should be in place by early 2019. Consultation on the masterplan for the railway station area is expected to be undertaken in early 2020. This is likely to take the form of an overall concept masterplan and a more focused planning framework identifying appropriate uses, amounts of development and infrastructure requirements. Funding for the Hollis Lane Link Road is to be has been set aside as part of the wider LGF funded A61 Corridor Project and a planning application for the first phase of the road has been submitted.</li> <li>11.37. Key assets in the Station Master Plan area require Safeguarding. A new road alignment joining Crow Lane and Hollis Lane will improve access to the station from the south (and reduce traffic through Chesterfield Town Centre, see policy policies SS1 and LP24). There is also a need to ensure adequate land is</li> </ul>	To account for the revised HS2 timetable and provide clarity on the status and preparation of the Station Masterplan. To provide cross reference to other Local Plan policies safeguarding key infrastructure.	АР48

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
MM59	Page Policy SS7	<ul> <li>safeguarded to allow for the development of an improved pedestrian bridge over the A61 to Corporation Street. The bridge would ideally be a statement piece of architecture and has been termed "Platform 4": arrival to the Town Centre. Land has been also identified for and improved station forecourt, Multi-story car parks (to release development land), bus, taxi and cycling use, limited leisure and retail use, connected to station users and an area of public realm.</li> <li>SS7 Chesterfield Railway Station</li> <li>Within land between Hollis Lane and Crow Lane, as shown on the policies map, the council will prepare an approved masterplan/development framework to maximise the regeneration benefits of future HS2 services and conventional rail services utilising the station. Within this area, and in accordance with the approved masterplan, <u>the council will support</u> development <u>based on the extent to</u> <u>which it that delivers for:</u></li> <li>a) Improved access to Chesterfield Railway Station by all modes of transport including improved forecourt arrangements;</li> <li>b) Modernisation of Station facilities and electrification of the Midland Main Line though it;</li> <li>c) A new link road between Hollis Lane and Crow Lane and related road alignments;</li> <li>d) Improvements to the A61 Corporation Street footbridge, including its replacement with a new bridge;</li> <li>e) mixed use development to include residential dwellings (C3), commercial office space (B1), car parking;</li> <li>f) limited retail and leisure uses (A1 to A5 and D1 and D2) in association with the Station;</li> <li>g) Pedestrian and cycle links to Chesterfield Waterside, Chesterfield Town Centre and the;</li> </ul>	To improve clarity and effectiveness of the policy.	AP48 AP49 AP51

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
		<ul> <li>h) Essential infrastructure required to deliver the improvements set out in the approved masterplan.</li> <li>i) <u>Appropriate assessment, evaluation and, if necessary, recording of archaeological remains</u></li> <li>j) <u>Improved inclusive accessibility to Chesterfield Railway Station and within the masterplan/development framework area.</u></li> <li>Planning Permission will not be granted for development that would prevent the delivery of the above improvements.</li> </ul>	To clarify the approach to archaeological investigation. To add reference to inclusive access to the station.	
MM60	Policy SS8	<ul> <li>SS8 Neighbourhood Plans</li> <li>Where the views of a community are expressed in a Neighbourhood Plan they will be taken into account in the planning system provided that the plan: <ul> <li>has been endorsed adopted by Chesterfield Borough Council; and</li> <li>is in conformity with the strategic policies of the Local Plan; and</li> <li>can be regularly updated if necessary</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	To improve clarity and effectiveness of the policy.	MIQ 1.4
MM62	Master Plan Diagram, p. 98	Deletion of master plan diagram on page 98 Chesterfield Waterside Illustrative Masterplan and replace with most recent illustrative master plan. See <b>Associated</b> <b>Figures, Tables and Diagrams (Proposed Modifications)</b> for the proposed modification.	It would be appropriate to replace the Illustrative Masterplan on page 98 of the Plan with the most recent masterplan (CWD- BBA-Z0-ZZ-DR-A-01005- P02) which has been updated following the approval of CHE/18/00626/REM1 which sought to vary conditions to omit the	MIQ 5.21

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
			canal arm. This will ensure that the plan contains the most accurate and up to	
			date Illustrative	
			Masterplan possible.	
		Appendices		
MM64	Appendix 'X'' Superseded development plan policies	See <b>Appendices (Proposed Modifications)</b> for the proposed modification.	To make the Plan clear on which policies would be superseded.	MIQ 1.2 AP3
MM65	Appendix B – Open Space Standards, Quantitative Standards	See <b>Appendices (Proposed Modifications)</b> for the proposed modification.	To bring the Local Plan up to date with current evidence published after the Plan was submitted for examination and also to improve clarity.	MIQ 7.30
MM65A	Appendix C Electric Vehicle Charging Standards	Appendix deleted	To ensure consistency with MM48	
		Monitoring Framework		
MM66	Monitoring framework	See <b>Appendices (Proposed Modifications)</b> for the proposed modification.		AP7 AP17 AP27 AP41 AP82
MM67	Monitoring framework	Housing Trajectory See <b>Appendices (Proposed Modifications)</b> for the proposed modification.		AP42

Modification Reference	Policy/ Paragraph/ Page	Proposed Modification	Reason for Change	Representation/ MIQ
MM68	Monitoring framework	Employment Trajectory See <b>Appendices (Proposed Modifications)</b> for the proposed modification.		AP27

# Associated Figures, Tables and Diagrams (Proposed Modifications)

## **MM1 – REVISED CONTENTS PAGE**

Introduction

### 1. Vision and Strategic Objectives

VISION STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

## 2. Spatial Strategy

SPATIAL STRATEGY LP1 Spatial Strategy (Strategic Policy)

PRINCIPLES FOR LOCATION OF DEVELOPMENT LP2 Principles for Location of Development (Strategic Policy)

PRESUMPTION IN FAVOUR OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT LP3 Presumption in favour of sustainable development

### 3. Homes and Housing

FLEXIBILITY IN DELIVERY OF HOUSING LP4 Flexibility in Delivery of Housing (Strategic Policy)

HOUSING ALLOCATIONS RANGE OF HOUSING LP5 Range of Housing

TRAVELLERS LP6 Sites for Travellers

### 4. Jobs, Centres and Facilities

GROWTH OF BUSINESSES LP7 Economic Growth (Strategic Policy)

TOURISM AND THE VISITOR ECONOMY LP8 Tourism and the Visitor Economy

VITALITY AND VIABILITY OF CENTRES Retail Floorspace Needs LP9 Vitality and Viability of Centres (Strategic Policy) LP10 Retail

SOCIAL INFRASTRUCTURE LP11 Social Infrastructure 5. Infrastructure Delivery

LP12 Infrastructure Delivery

RENEWABLE ENERGY LP13 Renewable Energy (Strategic Policy)

SUSTAINABLE MANAGEMENT OF THE WATER CYCLE LP14 Managing the Water Cycle

7. Environmental Quality

A HEALTHY ENVIRONMENT LP15 A Healthy Environment

GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE, BIODIVERSITY and GEODIVERSITY LP16 Green Infrastructure

#### LP17 Biodiversity, Geodiversity and the Ecological Network

OPEN SPACES, PLAY PROVISION AND OUTDOOR SPORTS FACILITIES LP18 Open Space, Play Provision, Sports Facilities and Allotments

CHESTERFIELD CANAL LP19 Chesterfield Canal

RIVER CORRIDORS

8. Design and the Built Environment

DESIGN LP21 Design

HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT LP22 Historic Environment

9. Travel and Transport

INFLUENCING THE DEMAND FOR TRAVEL LP23 Influencing the Demand for Travel

MAJOR TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE LP24 Major Transport Infrastructure

**10. Regeneration Priority Areas** 

RP1 Regeneration Priority Areas (Strategic Policy) 11. Strategic Sites & Locations

### CHESTERFIELD TOWN CENTRE SS1 Chesterfield Town Centre (Strategic Policy)

#### CHATSWORTH ROAD CORRIDOR SS2 – Chatsworth Road Corridor (Strategic Policy)

## CHESTERFIELD WATERSIDE AND THE POTTERIES SS3 Chesterfield Waterside and the Potteries (Strategic Policy)

#### MARKHAM VALE SS4 Markham Vale (Strategic Policy)


## STAVELEY AND ROTHER VALLEY CORRIDOR SS5 Staveley and Rother Valley Corridor (Strategic Policy)

### LAND NORTH OF DUNSTON SS6 Land at Dunston (Strategic Policy)

## CHESTERFIELD RAILWAY STATION AND STATION ARRIVAL SS7 – CHESTERFIELD RAILWAY (Strategic Policy)

NEIGHBOURHOOD PLANS SS8 Neighbourhood Plans

## MM2 – KEY DIAGRAM



## MM9 - TABLE 2 EMPLOYMENT LAND REQUIREMENT

Table 2: Employment Land Requirement	
<u>B1</u>	<u>5.9 ha</u>
<u>B2</u>	<u>23.8 ha</u>
<u>B8</u>	<u>19.9 ha</u>
Employment Land Requirement (2018-20332035)	<mark>44<u>50 ha</u></mark>

## MM15 – TABLE 3 SOURCES OF HOUSING SUPPLY 2018-2035

Source of Supply	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	2030/31	2031/32	2032/33	2033/34	2034/35	Sum of Total
Allocation (see Table 4)	0	15	45	58	224	219	282	310	288	300	245	215	50	0	0	0	0	2251
Large Permission	63	114	129	95	32	25	30	30	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	536
Small Allocation	0	4	41	12	70	79	52	62	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	338
Small Permission	71	91	142	76	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	402
SS1	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	30	30	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
SS3	0	0	50	207	207	37	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	59	50	50	1100
SS5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50	50	50	150
SS6	21	79	50	50	75	74	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	0	0	799
Actual / Anticipated Net Completions (sites of 4 dwellings or less)	57	36	96	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	189
10% Lapse Rate (Discount on Commitments)	0	-24	-37	-17	-5	-3	-3	-3	-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-94
Windfall Allowance	0	0	0	34	34	34	34	34	34	34	34	34	34	34	34	34	34	476
Total	212	315	516	515	659	466	515	568	489	466	384	354	189	139	193	134	134	6247

#### MM16 - HOUSING TRAJECTORY GRAPH



#### MM18 – TABLE 4 HOUSING ALLOCATIONS

Reference	Site Name Address	<u>Anticipated</u> Capacity	<u>Capacity</u> included	Site Size (ha)	<u>Related</u> Policy
		Capacity	within LP		roncy
			Trajectory		
H2	Catherine Street Garages Court, Catherine Street, Bank Street, Chesterfield	<del>10</del> <u>12</u>	12	0.2 <u>5</u> -7	LP4
H <del>3</del>	Manor House Farm, 118 The Green, Hasland	<del>10</del>		<del>0.40</del>	
H4	Heaton Court (Former), Meynell Close, Chesterfield Brampton	<u>12</u> 10	<u>10</u>	0.34	<u>LP4</u>
H5	Pondhouse Farm, Troughbrook Road, Hollingwood	<u>12</u> 23	<u>23</u>	1. <u>06</u> 10	LP4
H7	Land off Hollythorpe Close (Land off), Hasland	14	<u>14</u>	0.5 <b><u>5</u>4</b>	LP4
H8	Land North of Chesterfield Road (Land North of), Staveley	14	<u>14</u>	0.3 <u>2</u> 0	LP4
Н9	Former White Bank Sports Centre White Bank Close (Land at), Hasland	<u>15 9</u>	<u>9</u>	0.56	LP4
H10	Derwent House HOP, Ulverston Road, Chesterfield, Newbold	17	<u>17</u>	0.5 <u>8</u> 7	LP4
H11	Sycamore Road (Land at), Hollingwood ,Brimington	18	<u>18</u>	0.7 <u>2</u> 0	LP4
H12	Ashbrooke Centre (Former), Cuttholme Road, Chesterfield Loundsley Green	20	<u>20</u>	0.6 <u>9</u> 8	LP4
H13	Elm Street (Land at), Hollingwood ,Brimington	23	<u>23</u>	0.71	LP4
H14	Swaddale Avenue <u>(Land to the West of)</u> , Tapton	<del>25</del> <u>21</u>	<u>21</u>	0.88	LP4
H15	Goldwell Rooms (Former) and 6 Ashgate Road, Chesterfield**	<del>25</del> 70	<u>70</u>	0. <u><b>62</b>54</u>	<u>LP4</u>
H16	Red House HOP and Spire Lodge, Sheffield Road, Chesterfield, S41 7JH	25	<u>25</u>	0. <u><b>92</b>84</u>	<u>LP4</u>
H17	Poultry Farm (Former), Manor Road, Brimington	<del>27-<u>26</u></del>	<u>26</u>	0.8 <u>8</u> 87	<u>LP4</u>
<del>H18</del>	Commerce Centre, Canal Wharf, Chesterfield, Derbyshire, S41 7NA	<del>30</del>		<del>0.72</del>	
H19	Ash Glen Nursery (Former), Sheffield Road, Unstone	30	<u>30</u>	1. <u><b>13</b></u> 20	LP4
H21	Staveley Canal Basin, Eckington Road, Staveley	<u> <del>36</del> 90</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>3.22<b>2.99</b></u>	<u>LP19</u>
<del>H22</del>	Listers Car Sales (Former) Sheffield Road, Unstone	<u>38</u>		<del>1.40</del>	
H23	Allen and Orr Timber Yard, Saltergate, Chesterfield	<del>40</del> <u>39</u>	<u>39</u>	0.86	LP4
<del>H24</del>	Barker Lane (GK Group Premises)	<del>40</del>		<del>1.10</del>	
H25	Boat Sales (Former), Sheffield Road, Unstone	<u>50 </u> 48	<u>50 48</u>	1.29	<u>LP4</u>
H27	Walton Hospital (Land at) <u>,</u> Harehill Road <u>, Walton</u>	60	<u>60</u>	1. <u><b>50</b>49</u>	<u>LP4</u>
H28	Walton Hospital (Land at), Whitecotes Lane, Chesterfield, Walton	90	<u>90</u>	2.28 <b>3.14</b>	LP4
<del>H29</del>	Dunston Road (Land off), Cammac Site,	<del>146</del>		<del>4.49</del>	
H32	Bent Lane, Staveley	140	<u>140</u>	<del>7.26</del> 6.29	LP4

H36	Land at Inkersall Road (Land at), Inkersall	400	400	<u>22.78</u>	LP4
	TOTALS	<u>1377</u> 1201	<u>1377</u> 1201		
H1	Edale Road Garage Court, Mastin Moor	6	<u>6</u>	0.20	<u>RP1</u>
H6	Miller Avenue, Mastin Moor	14	<u>14</u>	<u>0.41 <del>1.5</del></u>	<u>RP1</u>
H20	Land at Duewell Court (Land at), Station Road, Barrow Hill	35	<u>35</u>	1. <u><b>43</b>18</u>	<u>RP1</u>
H26	Land adjacent Rectory Road (Land adjacent), Duckmanton	<u>33</u>	<u>33</u>	2.0 <u><b>3</b></u> 2	<u>RP1</u>
H31	Varley Park, Staveley Road, <b>Poolsbrook</b>	175	<u>175</u>	<del>5</del> <b>6.</b> 16	<u>RP1</u>
H33	Linacre Road <u>, Holme Hall</u>	300	<u>300</u>	14.85	<u>RP1</u>
H34	Land South of Tom Lane (Land South of), West of Rectory Road, Duckmanton	400- <b>275</b>	<u>275</u>	<u>23.39</u>	<u>RP1</u>
				<del>39.39</del>	
H35	Land South of Worksop Road and East and West of Bolsover Road, Mastin Moor	400	<u>650</u>	<u>46.15</u>	<u>RP1</u>
	TOTALS	<u>1238</u>	<u>1488</u>	_	_
SS1	Chesterfield Town Centre / Spire Neighbourhood, Chesterfield	100	<u>100</u>	<u>8.62</u>	<u>SS1</u>
H30	Walton Works (Former), Factory Street, <b>Brampton</b>	150	<u>150</u>	3.60	<u>SS2</u>
SS3	Chesterfield Waterside, Brimington Road, Chesterfield	<del>1000</del> <u>1550</u>	<u>1100</u>	23.17	<u>SS3</u>
SS5	Staveley Works <mark>, Staveley</mark>	<del>150</del> <b>1499</b>	<u>150</u>	<u>187.49</u>	<u>SS5</u>
SS6	Land South of Dunston Lane Road, Dunston*	<del>500 <b>799</b></del>	<u>799</u>	<u>43.6</u>	<u>SS6</u>
	TOTALS	<u>4098</u>	2299	_	_
	Overall Housing Totals	<u>6537</u>	<u>4988</u>		

\* Includes area of 15.81 Ha (299 dwellings) with reserved matters permission at the point of plan submission (June 2018).

\*\* To calculate the likely contribution towards housing delivery from a C2 use on the site the Council has followed the advice in paragraph 035 Reference ID: 68-035-20190722 in the NPPG. In doing so the calculation has been made using the method set out in paragraph ID: 63-016a-20190626 of the NPPF i.e. the average number of adults living in households (source: CT0774\_2011 Census - Age of Household Reference Person (HRP) by number of adults in household - national to local authority level).

## MM28 – TABLE 9 EMPLOYMENT LAND SUPPLY

Table 9: Employment Land Supply									
Land Developed within Plan Period									
Land Developed within 2018/19 monitoring year	<u>3.4 ha</u>								
Commitments									
Land with planning permission (31/3/ <del>18</del> 1 <u>9</u> ) (excluding Markham Vale)	<del>21.09<u>16.0 ha</u></del>								
Markham Vale	5.2 ha								
Waterside	-2.0 ha								
Total	<del>24.3<mark>19.2 ha</mark></del>								
Sites without Planning Permission									
Whitting Valley Road	3.7 ha								
Station Road (Wagon Works)	6.3 ha								
Former GKN works, Sheepbridge Lane	3.6 ha								
Impala Estates (land adjacent Markham Vale)	2.6 ha								
Former B <del>po</del> ythorpe Works, Goyt Side Road	5.0 ha								
Prospect Park (Land at), Dunston	<u>2.5 ha</u>								
Staveley Works Corridor	2.0 ha								
HS2 IMD*	4.0 ha								
Total	<del>27.33<u>29.7</u> ha</del>								
Total Supply within Plan Period	<del>51.63</del> 52.3 ha								

\* Based on an equivalent site area assuming 150 jobs at equivalent to B8 use instead of site size.

## MM29 - TABLE 12 – CONVENIENCE RETAIL SUPPLY

Commitments		
Site	Net Floorspace	Planning Status
Lidl, Former Perry's Ford Garage, Chatsworth Road	1140 sq. m	Full planning permission, completed August 2019 (CHE/17/00209/FUL).
Lidl extension, Sheffield Road, Whittington Moor	352 sq. m	Full planning permission granted (CHE/16/00477/FUL).
Former Walton Works, Factory Street, Walton	1300 sq. m	Full application awaiting decision (CHE/15/00832/FUL).
Broad Locations (2021-2033)		
Site	Anticipated Floorspace	Planning Status
Staveley Works Corridor – to serve in Lagoon Character Area	Up to 1500 sq. m: A single foodstore in new local centre not to exceed 1000 sq. m (net) No units in Lagoon Character Area to exceed 280 sq. m (net)	Allocation day to day needs: SS5

## MM29 – TABLE 12 (B) COMPARISON RETAIL SUPPLY

Commitments		
Site	Net Floorspace	Planning Status
Lidl, Former Perry's Ford Garage, Chatsworth Road	285 sq. m	Full planning permission, completed August 2019 (CHE/17/00209/FUL).
Former Fire Station, Sheffield Road, Whittington Moor	538 sq. m	Full planning permission, granted August 2019 (CHE/19/00157/FUL).
Former Walton Works, Factory Street, Walton	2621 sq. m	Full application awaiting decision (CHE/15/00832/FUL).
Broad Locations (2021-2033)		
Site	Anticipated Floorspace	Planning Status
Staveley Works Corridor – limited to serve day to day needs	Up to 1500 sq. m in new local centre.	Allocation: SS5
Chesterfield Town Centre, Northern Gateway – safeguarded for expansion of the Town Centre	-	Allocation: SS1
Chesterfield Town Centre Railway Terrace - limited and in association with the Station	-	Allocation: SS7

## **MM50 - LOCATION OF SPIRE NEIGHBOURHOOD**



Chesterfield Town Centre Strategic Development Framework (May 2015)

## MM62 – CHESTERFIELD WATERSIDE ILLUSTRATIVE MASTERPLAN

## [Page 98, replacement plan]



## MM63 APPENDIX A; INFRASTRUCTURE DELIVERY PLAN

## \_Appendix A: Infrastructure Delivery Plan

NB: This IDP is an evolving document which will be updated as more knowledge is obtained about infrastructure costs, funding and delivery.

				TRANSPORT			
Key Local Plan Policy	Implementation	Critical/ Necessary/ Complimentary	Lead Bodies	Infrastructure Requirements	Estimated Infrastructure Costs	Potential Funding Sources	Phasing & Delivery

LP1, LP24	Local Plan identifies corridor to be reserved	Critical for delivery of SRVC strategic site, and other Local Plan sites	<ul> <li>Chesterfield Borough Council</li> <li>Homes &amp; Communities Agency</li> <li>Derbyshire County Council</li> <li>Sheffield City Region</li> <li>D2N2</li> </ul>	Chesterfield- Staveley Regeneration Route; 5.7km single carriageway	£93 m; (Staveley Spur estimated at £4,635,760) (2017).	Included in priority list of road schemes by Midlands Connect, with request for £79 million; £14 million local contribution: Regeneration agencies; Private sector; CIL; £2 million funding set aside for construction of Northern Loop Road no longer required (see below) would form DCC financial contribution.	Medium term: 2020 – 2026:
LP1, LP24	Dependent on provision of Chesterfield – Staveley Regeneration Route)	Scheme likely to be superseded by provision of Chesterfield – Staveley Regeneration Route (see above))	<ul> <li>Chesterfield Borough Council</li> <li>Derbyshire County Council</li> <li>Sheffield City Region</li> <li>D2N2</li> </ul>	Northern Loop Road Phase 2* (*N.B. scheme likely to be superseded by provision of Chesterfield – Staveley Regeneration Route (see above))	£6.5 m (DCC, 2016)	Regeneration agencies/SCR ; developer contributions	Medium term: 2020 – 2026:*

LP23, LP24, SS7	Local Plan identifies transport scheme	Critical for delivery of Local Plan sites along the A61	<ul> <li>Chesterfield Borough Council</li> <li>Derbyshire County Council</li> <li>NEDDC</li> <li>D2N2,</li> </ul>	A61 GrowthCorridorimprovements,includingWhittington MoorRoundaboutimprovements;21st CenturyTransportCorridor: A61Sheepbridge Lane/Broombank Roadjunctionimprovement,A61/St AugustinesRoad junctionimprovement, andtechnologicalsolutions,including bus realtime information,urban trafficmanagementsystem, car parkguidance system,variable messagesigns; andStandard Gaugefor SustainableTravel: new withupgraded	£6.711 m (design and costs being prepared by DCC)	<ul> <li>Approved D2N2 Local Growth Fund allocations:</li> <li>21<sup>st</sup> Century Transport Corridor £3.0 million;</li> <li>Standard Gauge for Sustainable Travel £1.689 million</li> <li>£1.172 million local contribution: private sector; CIL;</li> <li>DCC local contribution to Whittington Moor Roundabout improvements £0.850m</li> </ul>	Short term (to 2021)
-----------------------	-------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------

				pedestrian/cycle routes.			
SS1	Local Plan identifies transport scheme	Critical for delivery of Town Centre Local Plan sites, and HS2	<ul> <li>Chesterfield Borough Council</li> <li>Derbyshire County Council</li> <li>Sheffield City Region</li> <li>D2N2</li> </ul>	A61 Growth Corridor: Chesterfield Station Masterplan; Hollis Lane Link Road; Lordsmill Roundabout remodelling (linked to A61)	£4.760 m (phase 1); (Design and associated costs being prepared by DCC)	Provisional D2N2 (LGF) allocation £3.808 million; Sheffield City Region; CIL; HS2 (in connection with proposed Chesterfield HS2 station)	Medium term: 2020 – 2026
SS7	Local Plan identifies developer's preferred route; Implementation tied to developer's programme	Necessary to support HS2 proposals	HS2 Ltd	HS2 Station masterplan; & provision of HS2 Infrastructure Maintenance Depot at Staveley	Costs associated with overall HS2 programme, /A61 Growth Corridor (LGF)	HS2 Ltd	Medium to Long term

LP23, LP8, LP16, SS1, SS2, SS7	Local Plan identifies opportunities linked to walking & cycling strategies	Necessary to support new development where gaps in services are identified	<ul> <li>Derbyshire County Council</li> <li>private providers</li> </ul>	Improvement of walking and cycling routes, identified on Key Cycle Network/Local Cycle Network, including delivery of Standard Gauge for Sustainable Travel (A61 Growth Corridor improvements-see above)	A61 improvements included in overall projects cost for D2N2 LGF. Costs of individual projects developed through detailed design	DCC and developer contributions / CIL	Throughout plan period
-----------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---------------------------

## FLOOD MITIGATION

Key Local Plan Policy	Implementation	Critical /Necessary/ Complimentary	Lead Bodies	Infrastructure Requirements	Estimated Infrastructure Costs	Potential Funding Sources	Phasing & Delivery
SS5	Staveley and Rother Valley Corridor masterplanning and working with landowners	Critical for delivery of SRVC strategic site,	<ul> <li>Chesterfield Borough Council</li> <li>Derbyshire County Council</li> <li>Environment Agency</li> </ul>	Flood mitigation & defence works associated with regeneration of former Staveley works site	Overall costs: £7 m Source: Options Report, Taylor Young (2010)	Developer contributions	Medium- term: 2020 – 2026:
SS2	South of Chatsworth Road Masterplan	Necessary to support new development	<ul> <li>Chesterfield Borough Council</li> <li>Development industry</li> <li>Landowners</li> <li>Environment Agency.</li> </ul>	Chatsworth Road Corridor Bridge works (Hipper Street West, Hipper Street South, Hipper House and Alma St) to mitigate flood risk along the River Hipper.	£ 135,204 Source: Arup, 2010	Environment Agency & Trent RFDC. Included as part of developer costs	Plan period


551	Town centre development projects highlighted in Chesterfield Town Centre Masterplan (2015)	Necessary to support new development	<ul> <li>Chesterfield Borough Council</li> <li>Derbyshire County Council</li> <li>Environment Agency</li> </ul>	River Hipper Flood Improvement Works – Tin Mill Storage Reservoir	£6 m	Environment Agency, SCR, CIL & Developer contributions	Medium to Long term
551	Town centre development projects highlighted in Chesterfield Town Centre Masterplan (2015)	Necessary to support new development	<ul> <li>Chesterfield Borough Council</li> <li>Derbyshire County Council</li> <li>Environment Agency</li> </ul>	River Rother Flood Improvement Works, including Horns Bridge.	Not currently estimated	Environment Agency, SCR, D2N2, CIL & Developer contributions	Long term

SS1	Town centre development projects highlighted in Chesterfield Town Centre Masterplan (2015)	Necessary to support new development	<ul> <li>Yorkshire Water</li> <li>Derbyshire County Council</li> </ul>	Horns Bridge Sewer Flooding	Not currently estimated	Yorkshire Water	Plan period
LP24	Co-operation with neighbouring authorities	Necessary to support new development	<ul> <li>North East Derbyshire District Council</li> <li>Development industry</li> <li>Landowners</li> <li>Environment Agency</li> </ul>	Flood mitigation measures beyond Chesterfield Borough administrative boundary; including <del>in</del> <del>association with remediation of The</del> Avenue; and upper Hipper Valley	Not currently estimated	Environment Agency, SCR, D2N2, CIL & developer contributions	Plan period
				WATER			

Key Local Plan Policy	Implementation	Critical /Necessary/ Complimentary	Lead Bodies	Infrastructure Requirements	Estimated Infrastructure Costs	Potential Funding Sources	Phasing & Delivery
SS5		Critical for delivery of planned housing numbers at SRVC strategic site,	Yorkshire Water	Increased capacity required at Staveley Waste Water Works	Not currently estimated	Developer contributions + utility providers' capital programmes	Long-term: 2026 – 2031
			EDUCATION				
Key Local Plan Policy	Implementation	Critical /Necessary/ Complimentary	Lead Bodies	Infrastructure Requirements	Estimated Infrastructure Costs	Potential Funding Sources	Phasing & Delivery
					COStS		Delivery
RP1	Development proposals at Poolsbrook/DCC	Critical for delivery of permitted housing numbers	<ul><li>DCC</li><li>Academy chain</li></ul>	Expansion of Poolsbrook Primary School	£450,000	• CIL	2020-2021

LP1	Development proposals (allocation on land south of Tom Lane, Duckmanton)	Necessary to support new development	<ul> <li>Derbyshire County Council</li> <li>Chesterfield Borough Council</li> <li>Development industry</li> </ul>	Duckmanton Primary School Potential capacity issues at Brookfield Secondary School	Funding may be sought if expansion is necessary at the time of development coming forward. Dependent on local school capacity at the time housing proposals come forward	<ul> <li>CIL</li> <li>Derbyshire County Council</li> <li>DfES</li> </ul>	Local Plan period: 2018 – 2035
-----	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------

LP1	Development proposals (Walton Works)	Necessary to support new development	<ul> <li>Derbyshire County Council</li> <li>Chesterfield Borough Council</li> <li>Development industry</li> </ul>	William Rhodes Primary and Nursery School Potential primary school capacity issues at Brimington	Funding may be sought if expansion is necessary at the time of development coming forward. Dependent on local school capacity at the time housing proposals come forward	<ul> <li>CIL</li> <li>Derbyshire County Council</li> <li>DfES</li> </ul>	Local Plan period: 2018 – 2035
LP1	<del>Development</del> <del>proposals</del>	Necessary to support new development	<ul> <li>Derbyshire County Council</li> <li>Chesterfield Borough Council</li> <li>Development industry</li> </ul>	<del>Brockwell</del> <del>Primary school at</del> <del>capacity</del>	Dependent on local school capacity at the time housing proposals come forward	<ul> <li>CIL</li> <li>Derbyshire County Council</li> <li>DfES</li> </ul>	Local Plan period: 2018 – 2035



LP1	Development proposals	Necessary to support new development	<ul> <li>Chesterfield Borough Council</li> <li>Care Commissioning Group</li> </ul>	Brimington GP Surgery and Whittington Medical Centre are approaching capacity Potential capacity issues also identified Barlborough Medical Practice, and Newbold Surgery (Windermere Road, Newbold)	Only applicable to residential development of 10 dwellings or over. Derbyshire NHS request £512 per dwelling. <i>NB:</i> <i>Contributions</i> <i>will depend on</i> <i>the location of</i> <i>proposed</i> <i>development</i> <i>and its</i> <i>distance from</i> <i>existing</i> <i>surgeries.</i>	Developer contributions	Local Plan period: 2018 – 2035
			GREEN INFRASTRU	CTURE			
Key Local Plan Policy	Implementation	Critical /Necessary/ Complimentary	Lead Bodies	Infrastructure Requirements	Estimated Infrastructure Costs	Potential Funding Sources	Phasing & Delivery

LP16	Borough-wide	Complementary to maximise the benefits of sustainable growth for local communities, including provision of a green link	CBC Leisure, DCC, private developers	Greenways improvements	unknown	CIL + matched funding	Local Plan period: 2018 – 2035			
			DIGITAL INFRASTRU	CTURE						
Key Local Plan Policy	Implementation	Critical /Necessary/ Complimentary	Lead Bodies	Infrastructure Requirements	Estimated Infrastructure Costs	Potential Funding Sources	Phasing & Delivery			
LP12	Borough-wide	Complementary to maximise the benefits of sustainable growth	Broadband Delivery UK; partnership led by Derbyshire County Council and BT,	Continuation of Digital Derbyshire roll out	£34 m Derbyshire wide,	Funding Committed; supported by Government, D2N2 & European Regional Development Fund	Years 1-5			
	STAVELEY AND ROTHER VALLEY CORRIDOR									
Key Local Plan Policy	Implementation	Critical /Necessary/ Complimentary	Lead Bodies	Infrastructure Requirements	Estimated Infrastructure Costs	Potential Funding Sources	Phasing & Delivery			

SS5: Staveley & Rother Valley Corridor	Staveley and Rother Valley Corridor masterplanning and working with landowners	Critical for delivery of SRVC strategic site,	<ul> <li>Chesterfield Borough Council</li> <li>Staveley Town Council</li> <li>Chatsworth Settlement Trust (landowner)</li> <li>Saint Gobain (landowner)</li> <li>Homes &amp; Communities</li> </ul>	Land decontamination and remediation	Overall costs: £60M - £70M Source: Options Report, Taylor Young (2010)	Regeneration agencies and developer contributions ; + HS2	Medium term: 2016– 2020
		Critical for delivery of SRVC strategic site,	Agency <ul> <li>Derbyshire County</li> <li>Council</li> </ul>	On-site road infrastructure	Overall costs : see above	developer contributions/CIL	Medium term: 2020 – 2026:
		Critical for delivery of SRVC strategic site,		Flood mitigation and defence works	Overall costs: £7M Source: Options Report, Taylor Young (2010)	Regeneration agencies and developer contributions or CIL	Medium- term: 2020 – 2026:
		Critical for delivery of SRVC strategic site,		Masterplanned green infrastructure provision (incl proposed greenways)	Not currently estimated	Included as part of development costs or CIL	Long-term: 2026 – 2033:

		Critical for delivery of SRVC strategic site,		Potential capacity issues at Springwell Secondary School	Dependent on local school capacity at the time housing proposals come forward	CIL; SCR skills agenda	Long-term: 2026 – 2033
		Critical for delivery of SRVC strategic site,		New single form entry primary school (evidence from DCC)	Estimated by DCC	CIL	Long-term: 2026 – 2033
			CHESTERFIELD WAT	ERSIDE			
Key Local Plan Policy	Implementation	Critical /Necessary/ Complimentary	Lead Bodies	Infrastructure Requirements	Estimated Infrastructure Costs	Potential Funding Sources	Phasing & Delivery

SS3: Waterside & the Potteries	Waterside Masterplan	Critical to enabling planned development to come forward	<ul> <li>Urbo Regeneration         <ul> <li>(which includes Bolsterstone and the main landowner, Arnold Laver)</li> <li>Chesterfield Borough Council</li> <li>Chesterfield Canal Partnership</li> <li>SCR/D2N2</li> </ul> </li> <li>Chesterfield Waterside (public private partnership of CBC, Bolsterstone and Arnold Laver)</li> </ul>	Contributions may be required from CIL to support expansions at primary and/or secondary dependant on capacity at the time of each phase coming forward.	Up to £5M Source: Planning application legal agreement	Developer contributions; SCRIF funding of £2.7m secured to deliver site infrastructure in relation to Basin Square.	Short-term: 2013-2020
				improvements; provision of bridge for site access; completion of canal infrastructure, preparation of development platforms			

Necessary to support	Masterplanned	Not currently	Included as part of	Medium-
new development	green	estimated	development costs.	term:
	infrastructure			
	provision		NB: Ongoing	2020 –
			management of green	2026
			infrastructure will be	
			funded via a	
			management fee on	
			residential properties	

## CHESTERFIELD CANAL

Key Local Plan Policy	Implementation	Critical /Necessary/ Complimentary		Lead Bodies	Infrastructure Requirements	Estimated Infrastructure Costs		Potential Funding Sources	Phasing & Delivery
LP8;	Local Plan	Complementary to •		Restoration of	£7m	•	Developer	Restoration	
LP22; SS3; SS5 LP1 & LP2	protects canal corridor as a major asset for sustainable transport, recreation & wildlife	maximise the benefits of sustainable growth for local communities, including provision of a green link	•	Council Derbyshire County Council Chesterfield Canal Partnership SCR/D2N2	whole route to a navigable state along whole length in the Borough; Specific transport infrastructure requirements.	(DCC 2016)	•	contributions/CIL; Chesterfield Canal Partnership	of whole route across Local Plan period: 2018 – 2035

# CHESTERFIELD TOWN CENTRE

Key Local Plan Policy	mplementation	Critical /Necessary/ Complimentary	Lead Bodies	Infrastructure Requirements	Estimated Infrastructure Costs	Potential Funding Sources	Phasing & Delivery
Chesterfield de Town Centre pr hi Cl To N	Town centre development projects highlighted in Chesterfield Town Centre Masterplan 2015)	Necessary to support new development	<ul> <li>Chesterfield Borough Council</li> <li>Development industry</li> <li>Landowners</li> <li>SCR/D2N2</li> <li>Derbyshire County Council</li> </ul>	Enhancement of the town centre walking and cycling network (based on network put forward by Derbyshire County Council, 2010)	No current estimates (costs will vary according to types of infrastructure required for the various routes)	Developer contributions and Local Transport Plan allocations, CIL	Local Plan period: 2018 – 2035

	Necessary to support new development		Health capacity at town centre medical facilities- as identified by NHS Derby & Derbyshire CCG: Hasland Medical Centre; Avenue House Branch; Avenue House Surgery; Chatsworth Road Medical Centre.	Only applicable to residential development of 10 dwellings or over. Derbyshire NHS currently request £512 per dwelling NB: Contributions will depend on the location of proposed development and its distance from existing surgeries	Developer contributions	
--	-----------------------------------------	--	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------	--

				Chesterfield Town Centre Masterplan: - Northern Gateway road infrastructure proposals - West Bars roundabout improvements - Hollis Lane link road - Lordsmill Roundabout improvements	See above	Developer contributions, CIL, LEP.			
	BRIMINGTON PARISH (LOCAL CENTRE)								
Key Local Plan Policies	Implementation	Critical /Necessary/ Complimentary	Lead Bodies	Infrastructure Requirements	Estimated Infrastructure Costs	Potential Funding Sources	Phasing & Delivery		

LP1	Future masterplanning	Necessary to support new development	<ul> <li>Chesterfield Borough Council</li> <li>Brimington Parish Council</li> <li>Development industry</li> <li>Derbyshire County Council</li> </ul>	Foul sewerage provision	£190,000 Source: Design Services, CBC	Included as part of developer costs	Long-term: 2026 – 2033
LP1		<ul> <li>Necessary to support new development</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>NHS Derby and Derbyshire CCG</li> </ul>	Capacity issues identified at Calow & Brimington Medical Practice (Foljambe Road, Brimington), and Whittington Moor Surgery (Scarsdale Rd, Whittington)	Only applicable to residential development of 10 dwellings or over. Derbyshire NHS currently request £512 per dwelling <i>NB:</i> <i>Contributions</i> <i>will depend on</i> <i>the location of</i> <i>proposed</i> <i>development</i> <i>and its</i> <i>distance from</i> <i>existing</i> <i>surgeries</i>	Developer contributions	

# EASTERN VILLAGES (DUCKMANTON & MASTIN MOOR)

Key Local Plan Policies	Implementation	Critical /Necessary/ Complimentary	Lead Bodies	Infrastructure Requirements	Estimated Infrastructure Costs	Potential Funding Sources	Phasing & Delivery
LP1	Future masterplanning	<ul> <li>Necessary to support new development</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Chesterfield Borough Council</li> <li>Development industry</li> <li>Derbyshire County Council</li> </ul>	Upgrades to the sewer network likely to be required at both Duckmanton and Mastin Moor (i.e. Bent Lane Sewage Pumping Station & Staveley Wastewater Treatment Works)	Not currently estimated	Included as part of developer costs	Long-term: 2026 – 2033

		Potential capacity issues at Netherthorpe Secondary School & Woodthorpe Primary School	Dependent on local school capacity at the time housing proposals come forward	Included as part of developer costs/CIL	
LP1	• NHS Derby and Derbyshire CCG	Barlborough Medical Practice is approaching capacity.	Only applicable to residential development of 10 dwellings or over. Derbyshire NHS currently request £512 per dwelling <i>NB:</i> <i>Contributions</i> <i>will depend on</i> <i>the location of</i> <i>proposed</i> <i>development</i> <i>and its</i> <i>distance from</i> <i>existing</i> <i>surgeries</i>	Developer contributions	

# CHATSWORTH ROAD CORRIDOR

Key Local Plan Policies	Implementation	Critical /Necessary/ Complimentary	Lead Bodies	Infrastructure Requirements	Estimated Infrastructure Costs	Potential Funding Sources	Phasing & Delivery
SS2	South of Chatsworth Road Masterplan	Necessary to support new development	Chesterfield Borough Council Development industry Landowners Derbyshire County Council (Highways & Education). Environment Agency.	Bridge works (Hipper Street West, Hipper Street South, Hipper House and Alma St) to mitigate flood risk along the River Hipper.	£ 135,204 Source: Arup, 2010	Environment Agency & Trent RFDC. Included as part of developer costs	Local Plan period: 2018 – 2035
		Necessary to support new development		Enhancement of the walking and cycling network	Not currently estimated	Included as part of developer costs	
		Necessary to support new development		Development and enhancement of the GI network	Not currently estimated	Included as part of developer costs	

		Necessary to support new development		Improvement of Dock Walk to an adoptable standard, and adopt, incorporating dedicated cycle facilities	Not currently estimated	Delivered as part of new development to improve site accessibility	
		Necessary to support new development		Improvement of Hipper Valley Corridor	Not currently estimated	Delivered as part of new development to improve site accessibility	
		Necessary to support new development		Improvements to Old Hall junction	Not currently estimated	Delivered as part of new development to improve site accessibility	
STAVELEY TOWN CENTRE							
Key Local Plan Policies	Implementation	Critical /Necessary/ Complimentary	Lead Bodies	Infrastructure Requirements	Estimated Infrastructure Costs	Potential Funding Sources	Phasing & Delivery

#### LP1- LP21 Staveley Town Complementary to Staveley Town Council Whilst the Regeneration Local Plan There are no • • ٠ maximise the Chesterfield Borough agencies Staveley Town period: Centre • cost estimates benefits of Council Developer ٠ 2018-2035 Masterplan for proposals Centre sustainable growth Contributions or **Regeneration agencies** ٠ in the Masterplan for local Development industry CIL • Staveley Town provides a communities. Centre framework for NB: Whilst not masterplan new part of the Local development in Plan programme the town, the masterplan development is does set out the mainly focused long-term on public realm development improvements. options for Therefore, Staveley Town development is Centre. These unlikely have a options have not significant impact been costed and on existing specific delivery infrastructure arrangements capacity are not yet in place.

### **MM64 - SUPERSEDED POLICIES**

The policies set out in the adopted Local Plan Core Strategy (2011-2031) have all been superseded by the new Chesterfield Borough Local Plan.

The table below sets out a schedule of the superseded policies of the current local plan including the saved policies of the Replacement Chesterfield Local Plan (adopted 2006).

Local Plan: Core Strategy (July 2013)	Chesterfield Local Plan 2019
CS1 - Spatial Strategy	LP1 – Spatial Strategy
CS2 - Principles for Location of	LP2 – Principles for Location of
Development	<u>Development</u>
CS3 - Presumption in favour of	NO REPLACEMENT
Sustainable Development	
CS4 - Infrastructure Delivery	LP12 - Infrastructure Delivery
CS5 - Renewable Energy	LP13 - Renewable Energy
CS6 - Sustainable Design and	<u>LP21 - Design</u>
<u>Construction</u>	
CS7 - Management of the Water Cycle	LP14 – Managing the Water Cycle
CS8 - Environmental Quality	<u>LP15 – A Healthy Environment</u>
CS9 - Green Infrastructure and	<u>LP16 – Green Infrastructure</u>
<b>Biodiversity</b>	LP17 – Biodiversity, Geodiversity & the
	Ecological Network
	<u>LP18 – Open Space, Play Provision,</u>
	Sports Facilities & Allotments
CS10 - Flexibility in delivery of	LP4 - Flexibility in delivery of Housing
Housing	
CS11 - Range of Housing	LP5 - Range of Housing
CS12 - Sites for Travellers	LP6 - Sites for Travellers
CS13 - Economic Growth	LP7 - Economic Growth

EconomyCS15 - Vitality and Viability of CentresLP10 - RetailCS16 - RetailCS17 - Social InfrastructureLP11 - Social InfrastructureCS18 - DesignCS19 - Historic EnvironmentLP22 - Historic EnvironmentCS20 - Influencing the Demand forTravelCS21 - Major Transport InfrastructureLP24 - Major Transport InfrastructurePS1 - Chesterfield Town CentrePS2 - Chatsworth RoadPS3 - Waterside and the PotteriesPS4 - Markham ValePS5 - Staveley and Rother Valley CorridorPS6 - Neighbourhood Plans	CS14 - Tourism and the Visitor	LP8 - Tourism and the Visitor Economy
CS16 - RetailLP10 - RetailCS17 - Social InfrastructureLP11 - Social InfrastructureCS18 - DesignLP21 - DesignCS19 - Historic EnvironmentLP22 - Historic EnvironmentCS20 - Influencing the Demand for TravelLP23 - Influencing the Demand for TravelCS21 - Major Transport InfrastructureLP24 - Major Transport InfrastructurePS1 - Chesterfield Town Centre PS2 - Chatsworth RoadSS2 - Chatsworth Road CorridorPS3 - Waterside and the PotteriesSS3 - Chesterfield Waterside & the PotteriesPS4 - Markham ValeSS4 - Markham ValePS5 - Staveley and Rother Valley CorridorSS5 - Staveley and Rother Valley Corridor	Economy	
CS17 - Social InfrastructureLP11 - Social InfrastructureCS18 - DesignLP21 - DesignCS19 - Historic EnvironmentLP22 - Historic EnvironmentCS20 - Influencing the Demand for TravelLP23 - Influencing the Demand for TravelCS21 - Major Transport InfrastructureLP24 - Major Transport InfrastructurePS1 - Chesterfield Town Centre PS2 - Chatsworth RoadSS1 - Chesterfield Town CentrePS3 - Waterside and the PotteriesSS3 - Chesterfield Waterside & the PotteriesPS4 - Markham ValeSS4 - Markham ValePS5 - Staveley and Rother Valley CorridorSS5 - Staveley and Rother Valley Corridor	CS15 - Vitality and Viability of Centres	LP9 - Vitality and Viability of Centres
CS18 - DesignLP21 - DesignCS19 - Historic EnvironmentLP22 - Historic EnvironmentCS20 - Influencing the Demand for TravelLP23 - Influencing the Demand for TravelCS21 - Major Transport InfrastructureLP24 - Major Transport InfrastructurePS1 - Chesterfield Town CentreSS1 - Chesterfield Town CentrePS2 - Chatsworth RoadSS2 - Chatsworth Road CorridorPS3 - Waterside and the PotteriesSS3 - Chesterfield Waterside & the PotteriesPS4 - Markham ValeSS4 - Markham ValePS5 - Staveley and Rother Valley CorridorSS5 - Staveley and Rother Valley Corridor	CS16 - Retail	LP10 - Retail
CS19 - Historic EnvironmentLP22 - Historic EnvironmentCS20 - Influencing the Demand for TravelLP23 - Influencing the Demand for TravelCS21 - Major Transport InfrastructureLP24 - Major Transport InfrastructurePS1 - Chesterfield Town CentreSS1 - Chesterfield Town CentrePS2 - Chatsworth RoadSS2 - Chatsworth Road CorridorPS3 - Waterside and the PotteriesSS3 - Chesterfield Waterside & the PotteriesPS4 - Markham ValeSS4 - Markham ValePS5 - Staveley and Rother Valley CorridorSS5 - Staveley and Rother Valley Corridor	CS17 - Social Infrastructure	LP11 - Social Infrastructure
CS20 - Influencing the Demand for TravelLP23 - Influencing the Demand for TravelCS21 - Major Transport InfrastructureLP24 - Major Transport InfrastructurePS1 - Chesterfield Town CentreSS1 - Chesterfield Town CentrePS2 - Chatsworth RoadSS2 - Chatsworth Road CorridorPS3 - Waterside and the PotteriesSS3 - Chesterfield Waterside & the PotteriesPS4 - Markham ValeSS4 - Markham ValePS5 - Staveley and Rother Valley CorridorSS5 - Staveley and Rother Valley Corridor	CS18 - Design	<u>LP21 - Design</u>
TravelCS21 - Major Transport InfrastructureLP24 - Major Transport InfrastructurePS1 - Chesterfield Town CentreSS1 - Chesterfield Town CentrePS2 - Chatsworth RoadSS2 - Chatsworth Road CorridorPS3 - Waterside and the PotteriesSS3 - Chesterfield Waterside & the PotteriesPS4 - Markham ValeSS4 - Markham ValePS5 - Staveley and Rother Valley CorridorSS5 - Staveley and Rother Valley Corridor	CS19 - Historic Environment	LP22 - Historic Environment
CS21 - Major Transport InfrastructureLP24 - Major Transport InfrastructurePS1 - Chesterfield Town CentreSS1 - Chesterfield Town CentrePS2 - Chatsworth RoadSS2 - Chatsworth Road CorridorPS3 - Waterside and the PotteriesSS3 - Chesterfield Waterside & the PotteriesPS4 - Markham ValeSS4 - Markham ValePS5 - Staveley and Rother Valley CorridorSS5 - Staveley and Rother Valley Corridor	CS20 - Influencing the Demand for	LP23 - Influencing the Demand for Travel
PS1 - Chesterfield Town CentreSS1 - Chesterfield Town CentrePS2 - Chatsworth RoadSS2 - Chatsworth Road CorridorPS3 - Waterside and the PotteriesSS3 - Chesterfield Waterside & the PotteriesPS4 - Markham ValeSS4 - Markham ValePS5 - Staveley and Rother Valley CorridorSS5 - Staveley and Rother Valley Corridor	Travel	
PS2 - Chatsworth RoadSS2 - Chatsworth Road CorridorPS3 - Waterside and the PotteriesSS3 - Chesterfield Waterside & the PotteriesPS4 - Markham ValeSS4 - Markham ValePS5 - Staveley and Rother Valley CorridorSS5 - Staveley and Rother Valley Corridor	CS21 - Major Transport Infrastructure	LP24 - Major Transport Infrastructure
PS3 - Waterside and the Potteries       SS3 - Chesterfield Waterside & the Potteries         PS4 - Markham Vale       SS4 - Markham Vale         PS5 - Staveley and Rother Valley       SS5 - Staveley and Rother Valley         Corridor       Corridor	PS1 - Chesterfield Town Centre	
Potteries           PS4 - Markham Vale         SS4 - Markham Vale           PS5 - Staveley and Rother Valley         SS5 - Staveley and Rother Valley           Corridor         Corridor	PS2 - Chatsworth Road	SS2 - Chatsworth Road Corridor
PS4 - Markham ValeSS4 - Markham ValePS5 - Staveley and Rother ValleySS5 - Staveley and Rother ValleyCorridorCorridor	PS3 - Waterside and the Potteries	SS3 – Chesterfield Waterside & the
PS5 - Staveley and Rother Valley         SS5 - Staveley and Rother Valley           Corridor         Corridor		
<u>Corridor</u> <u>Corridor</u>		
PS6 - Neighbourhood Plans SS8 - Neighbourhood Plans		
	PS6 - Neighbourhood Plans	SS8 - Neighbourhood Plans
Replacement Chesterfield Borough		
Local Plan	Local Plan	
HSN 1 - Sites for Residential LP4 - Flexibility in delivery of Housing		LP4 - Flexibility in delivery of Housing
Development		
EMP 2 - Donkin / UEF site, Derby Road REDUNDANT POLICY		REDUNDANT POLICY
EMP 5 - Other Sites for Employment LP7 – Economic Growth		<u>LP7 – Economic Growth</u>
<u>Development</u>	<u>Development</u>	
EMP 7 - Development in Existing LP7 - Economic Growth	EMP 7 - Development in Existing	LP7 - Economic Growth
Business and Industrial Areas	<b>Business and Industrial Areas</b>	
EMP 11 - Ecodome Proposal LP8 - Tourism and the Visitor Economy	EMP 11 - Ecodome Proposal	LP8 - Tourism and the Visitor Economy
EVR 1 - Green Belt     LP16 - Green Infrastructure	EVR 1 - Green Belt	LP16 – Green Infrastructure

EVR 2 - Development in the Open	LP16 - Green Infrastructure
Countryside and Other Open Land	
EVR 9 - Tree and Woodland Planting	LP16 - Green Infrastructure
EVR 29 - Chesterfield Town Centre	<u>LP22 – Historic Environment</u>
Historic Core	
TRS 2 - Transport Schemes	LP24 - Major Transport Infrastructure
Associated with Markham	
Employment Growth Zone (Markham	
Vale) and the M1	
TRS 3 - Chesterfield – Staveley	LP24 - Major Transport Infrastructure
Regeneration Route	
TRS 6 - Whitting Valley Link Road	REDUNDANT POLICY
SHC 1 - Development within existing	LP9 - Vitality and Viability of Centres
Town, District and Local Centres	
SHC 2 - Provision of New or Extended	
Local Centres	
SHC 3 - New Retail Warehousing on	REDUNDANT POLICY
Land at Markham Road	
POS 1 - Existing Parks and Open	LP18 - Open Space, Play Provision,
Spaces	Sports Facilities & Allotments
POS 2 - New Public Open Space	LP18 - Open Space, Play Provision,
	Sports Facilities & Allotments
POS 4 - Sports Pitches and Playing	LP18 - Open Space, Play Provision,
Fields	Sports Facilities & Allotments
POS 5 - Allotments	LP16 - Green Infrastructure
CMT 1 - Education Sites	LP12 - Infrastructure Delivery
CMT 3 - Development of Health and	REDUNDANT POLICY
Further Education Provision	
New Policies	

LP19 – Chesterfield Canal
LP20 – River Corridors
<b>RP1 - Regeneration Priority Areas</b>
SS6 - Land at Dunston
SS7 – Chesterfield Railway Station

## MM65 – OPEN SPACE STANDARDS, QUANTITATIVE STANDARDS

Quantitative Standards						
Type of Open Space	Hectares of Provision per 1000 people					
Allotments	<del>0.3</del> 4- <u><b>0.39</b></u>					
Amenity Greenspace <sup>2</sup>	<del>0.90-<b>0.68</b></del>					
Natural and Semi-Natural Greenspace	<del>3.0</del> 1 <u>3.00</u>					
Parks and Gardens	1.06					
Provision for Children and Young People <sup>3</sup>	<del>0.06</del> - <u>0.27</u>					
Cemeteries and Green Corridors	No standards					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> All amenity greenspaces over 2 hectares in size which host a play site and also all other amenity greenspaces which do not host a play site <sup>3</sup> All equipped play provision sites including informal amenity greenspaces which host a play site and are below two hectares in size

## MM66 – MONITORING FRAMEWORK

# Monitoring and Review Framework

LP1 Spatial Strategy & LP2	Strategic Objectives	Target	Indicator	Frequency	Trigger	Action and Contingencies
LP1 Housing Growth	S2 S3	4 <del>374</del> <u>4080</u> dwellings up to <del>2033</del> <u>2035</u>	Net new dwellings built each year as monitored in the AMR. HDT	Annual	<ol> <li>Persistent under delivery as set out in the HDT</li> <li>Unmet need identified in HMA</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Apply 20% buffer to five year supply as set out in the NPPF.</li> <li>Take into account as part of five year plan review</li> </ol>
LP1 Economic Growth	S3 S6	44 <u>50</u> hectares up to <del>2033-<u>2035</u></del>	Net new employment land developed each year as monitored in the AMR	Annual	Percentage of delivery across five year period	Take into account as part of five year plan review
LP1 Green Belt	S11	No net loss of, or inappropriate development on green belt	Loss of, or inappropriate development on green belt	As required	More than one appeal allowed for development on Green Belt on basis of land supply issues	Review reasons for decision Take into account as part of five year plan review Possible Green Belt Review as part of Local Plan Review
LP1 Strategic Gaps / Green Wedges <u>&amp; LP16</u>	S7	No net loss of, or inappropriate development	Loss of, or inappropriate development within Strategic	As required	More than one appeal allowed for development within Strategic	Review reasons for decision Take into account as part of five year plan review

LP2 Principles for Location of Development	S1 S9 S10 S13 Strategic	The location of new residential development to maximise opportunities for walking access to a range of key services. The location of new residential development to maximise opportunities for cycling and the use of public transport to access a range of key services.	Gaps / Green Wedges The travel times from from major residential development to a range of key services by non- car based modes of transport.	As required and through the monitoring of planning permissions.	Gaps / Green Wedges <u>Majority of new</u> <u>homes within</u> <u>major</u> <u>developments</u> <u>not being</u> <u>within a walkable</u> <u>distance to a</u> <u>range of key</u> <u>services and not</u> <u>being within the</u> <u>lower</u> <u>accessibility</u> <u>threshold times</u> <u>to a range of key</u> <u>services.</u>	Take into account as part of five year plan review
•	Objective	Target		Frequency	Trigger	Contingencies
LP3 Presumption in favour of Sustainable Development	All	Delivery of sustainable development in accordance with	Application of the Local Plan		See triggers for LP1 and LP2	See contingencies for LP1 and LP2

		the spatial strategy		_		
Policy LP4	Strategic Objective	Target	Indicator	Frequency	Trigger	Contingencies
LP4 Flexibility in the delivery of Housing	S2	Maintain five year housing supply of deliverable sites	Five Year Housing Land Supply <u>Performance</u> <u>against</u> <u>trajectory (see</u> table xxxx)	Annual	Inability to demonstrate five year housing supply	Application of presumption in favour of sustainable development as required by NPPF when determining Planning Applications Take into account as part of five year plan review
Policy LP5	Strategic Objective	Target	Indicator	Frequency	Trigger	Contingencies
LP5 Range of Housing	55	20% affordable homes (90% social rented and 10% intermediate) on sites of 10 dwellings or more. High – 20%. Medium 10%. Low 5%25% of adaptable and accessible housing10% of affordable should be built as wheelchair user homes	Number of affordable housing completions (net) Social rented and Intermediate No. of adaptable and accessible housing completions	Annual	Shortfall of 25% of cumulative 3 year target	Discuss with Housing Team the reasons for performance to and review the affordable housing pipeline, Take into account as part of five year plan review

Policy LP6	Strategic Objective	Target	Indicator	Frequency	Trigger	Contingencies
LP6 Sites for Travellers		Strategic Target met up to 2019	Net additional pitches granted planning permission Frequency and size of unauthorised pitches	Annual	Submission of a planning application for a travellers site or an unauthorised site within the borough. <u>Receipt</u> <u>of the GTAA</u> <u>review</u>	Review and update evidence in light of need with neighbouring authorities. Take into account as part of five year plan review
Policy LP7	Strategic Objective	Target	Indicator	Frequency	Trigger	Contingencies
LP7 Employment land	S6	44 <u>50</u> ha of additional employment land (B1, B2 and B8) between <del>2011</del> <u>2018</u> and <del>2033</del> <u>2035</u>	Net new employment land developed each year as monitored in the AMR <u>Performance</u> <u>against</u> <u>trajectory (see</u> <u>table xxxx)</u>	Annual	Percentage of delivery across five year period	Take into account as part of five year plan review
Policy LP8	Strategic Objective	Target	Indicator	Frequency	Trigger	Contingencies
LP8 Tourism and Visitor Economy	S3 S12	Increase in D2 floorspace	Total amount of new D2 floorspace		No new D2 floorspace	Discussions with tourism teams to identify reasons for fall in visitor numbers to the town. Take into account as part of five year plan review
Policy LP9	Strategic Objective	Target	Indicator	Frequency	Trigger	Contingencies

LP9 Viability and Vitality of Centres	53	Vacancy rate below national and regional average for Town and District Centres	Total amount of new floor space for town centre uses Total amount of new floor space for town centre use completed outside of centres or allocated sites Town and District Centre Health Checks	Annual	Persistent increase in vacancy rates	Consider revision of centre boundaries and/or hierarchy Review reasons for decision and revaluate evidence and allocations if necessary. Examine reasons for decline in performance of town and district centre. Long-term, potential to review policies and allocations Take into account as part of five year plan review
Policy LP10	Strategic Objective	Target	Indicator	Frequency	Trigger	Contingencies
LP10 Retail	53	No new retail floorspace (except Small Shops under threshold) outside of existing defined centres or allocated site	Total amount of new floor space for town centre use completed outside of centres or allocated sites	Annual	Approval of large format retail outside of town centre boundary not allocated in Local Plan.	Review reasons for decision and revaluate evidence Take into account as part of five year plan review
Policy LP11	Strategic Objective	Target	Indicator	Frequency	Trigger	Contingencies
LP11 Social Infrastructure	<u>53 &amp; 513</u>	Maintain appropriate provision of Community Facilities	<u>Monitor changes</u> of use and <u>Assets of</u> <u>Community</u> <u>Value</u>	<u>Annual</u>	Loss of asset of community value	<u>Take into account as part of five year plan</u> <u>review</u>

Policy LP12	Strategic Objective	Target	Indicator	Frequency	Trigger	Contingencies
LP12 Infrastructure Delivery	S10	Delivery of Strategic Infrastructure highlighted in Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Delivery in accordance with CIL Expenditure Strategy	Annual <u>review of</u> <u>S106 and CIL</u>	Non delivery of infrastructure in expenditure strategy	Review funding allocations Take into account as part of five year plan review Review effectiveness of CIL
Policy LP13	Strategic Objective	Target	Indicator	Frequency	Trigger	Contingencies
LP13 Renewable heat Energy	S1	No target	Monitor applications for renewable energy	Five years		Take into account as part of five year plan review
Policy LP14	Strategic Objective	Target	Indicator	Frequency	Trigger	Contingencies
LP14 Managing the Water Cycle	S4	All development in line with Environment Agency Advice <u>All development</u> <u>according with</u> <u>the advice of the</u> <u>Lead Local Flood</u> <u>Authority</u>	Development approved contrary to Environment Agency advice <u>Development</u> <u>approved</u> <u>contrary to Lead</u> <u>Local Flood</u> <u>Authority advice</u>	Annual	More than five applications approved contrary to advice over a rolling five year period.	Identify reasons for decision, and if necessary take into account as part of five year plan review
Policy LP15	Strategic Objective	Target	Indicator	Frequency	Trigger	Contingencies
LP15 A Healthy Environment	S9	No new AQMA, Removal of existing AQMA	Air Quality Monitoring Report	Annual	The designation of an Air Quality Management Area	Consultation with Environmental Health and DCC to identify mitigation measures to address impacts of air quality. Take into account as part of five year plan review

			Progress against			
			Air Quality			
			Action Plan			
			Objectives			
Policy LP16	Strategic Objective	Target	Indicator	Frequency	Trigger	Contingencies
LP16 Green						
Infrastructure						
LP16 Green Infrastructure	S7 & S11	No net loss of, or inappropriate development in Green Belt, Green Wedges or Strategic Gaps	Loss of, or inappropriate development within Strategic Gaps / Green Wedges/Green Bely	As required	More than one appeal allowed for development within Strategic Gaps / Green Wedges	Review reasons for decision Take into account as part of five year plan review
Policy LP17	Strategic Objective	Target	Indicator	Frequency	Trigger	Contingencies
LP17	S7	Net gain in	Monitoring of	Annual	Proposed losses	Investigate reasons for loss of habitat
Biodiversity,		biodiversity	conditions in		and gains of	Take into account as part of five year plan
Geodiversity &			Planning		identified habitat	review
the Ecological		Increase in tree	approvals			
Network		cover within the				
		<u>Borough</u>	Monitoring of			
			gains and losses			
			in identified			
			<u>habitat through</u>			
			<u>planning</u>			
			approvals for			
			<u>the</u>			
			<u>development</u>			
			housing and			
			<u>employment</u>			
			allocations			
			including			

		strategic sites and other major developments <u>Monitoring of</u> the area of land covered by woodland identified as priority habitat			
Sites of International & National Importance	Develop a suitable monitoring programme liason with neighbouri authorities including t PDNP and Natural Eng in relation SAC's and S identified i SA to help ensure tha likely signifi effect on th European si does not an unexpected	e inAADT on roads within 200m ofngthe European Sitesng• Post plan changes in ratesne• Post plan changes in ratesglandchanges in ratesto theof atmospheric of atmosphericSPA'snitrogen the Europeann thedeposition at the Europeant asitesicant ites• Ecological condition of qualifying	As per the programme to be agreed between authorities and Natural England	Increases in traffic and consequential effects on air quality	Take into account as part of five year plan review

			European site; and • Cooperation with neighbouring authorities, including the PDNPA,			
Policy LP18	Strategic Objective	Target	Indicator	Frequency	Trigger	Contingencies
LP18 Open Space, Play provision, Sports facilities & Allotments	S10 S13	No net loss of open space, play provision and sports facilities <u>unless identified</u> <u>as surplus to</u> <u>need.</u>	Loss of open space, play provision and sports facilities <u>where this is not</u> <u>identified as</u> <u>surplus to need.</u>	As required	Net loss of open space, play provision and sports facilities over a three year period <u>where this</u> <u>is not identified</u> <u>as surplus to</u> <u>need.</u>	Review reasons for decision to release of open space etc. Take into account as part of five year plan review
Policy LP19	Strategic Objective	Target	Indicator	Frequency	Trigger	Contingencies
LP19 Chesterfield Canal	S12	Restoration of the Chesterfield Canal within the borough to a navigable state <b>by 2027</b>	Remaining length of un- navigable stretch of Chesterfield Canal in Chesterfield Borough (Chesterfield Canal Trust)	Annual via CCT annual report	No additional restoration of canal in rolling three year period	Discussion with Chesterfield Canal Trust and Derbyshire County Council to facilitate further restoration work. Review use of CIL funding Take into account as part of five year plan review

Policy LP20	Strategic Objective	Target	Indicator	Frequency	Trigger	Contingencies
LP20 River Corridors	S7	None	Number of applications that fall within River Corridors	<u>Annual</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>Take into account as part of five year plan</u> <u>review</u>
Policy LP21	Strategic Objective	Target	Indicator	Frequency	Trigger	Contingencies
LP21 Design	S8	75% of major new residential development to achieve a score of 12 on Building for Life	Number of major residential developments achieving a score of 12 on Building for Life	Annual	Less than 75% of schemes over a two year period achieving a score of 12 on Building for Life	Discussion with applicants and agents to understand why higher levels of design are not being achieved. Potential to review and update supplementary planning guidance.
Policy LP22	Strategic Objective	Target	Indicator	Frequency	Trigger	Contingencies
LP22 Historic Environment	S8	Zero heritage assets on the 'at- risk' register	Number of heritage assets on the 'at-risk' register	Annual	If heritage asset stays on the 'at- risk' register for longer than 12 months	The Council will seek advice of English Heritage Historic England in ensuring that there is no negative impact on heritage assets and the wider historic environment.
		Zero Listed Buildings demolished	Number of Listed Buildings demolished Buildings on	Annual	Listed building demolished	Review reasons for demolition
		<u>100% coverage</u> of up to date <u>conservation</u> area appraisals.	Local List lost <u>% Percentage of</u> <u>conservation</u> <u>areas with</u> <u>up-to-date</u> character	<u>Annual</u>	<u>If below 100%</u>	The Council will review its priorities in respect of resourcing work to bring coverage up to the required level.

			<u>appraisals</u>			
Policy LP23	Strategic Objective	Target	Indicator	Frequency	Trigger	Contingencies
LP23 Influencing the Demand for Travel	S1 S9	Delivery of the Chesterfield Strategic <u>Cycle</u> Network	Delivery of new cycle network.	Five years from adoption	No new stretches delivered over a two year period	Discussion with Derbyshire County Council to ensure and aid delivery of strategic network. Potential source of funding from CIL.
Policy LP24	Strategic Objective	Target	Indicator	Frequency	Trigger	Contingencies
LP24 Major Transport Infrastructure	59	Safeguard land for Major Transport Infrastructure including the scheme for the Chesterfield- Staveley Regeneration Route	Planning permissions granted in areas safeguarded for major transport infrastructure	Annual	If planning permission is granted for development in safeguarded area.	Review reasons and impact for granting of planning permission in safeguarded areas.
Policy RP1	Strategic Objective	Target	Indicator	Frequency	Trigger	Contingencies

RP1 Regeneration Priority Areas	S2 S3	Improvement on IMD ranking	IMD ranking	Five years from adoption	A Decline in IMD Ranking	Work with local community and external agencies to support regeneration projects Take into account as part of five year plan review
Policy SS1	Strategic Objective	Target	Indicator	Frequency	Trigger	Contingencies
SS1 Chesterfield Town Centre	S2 S3 S6 S8 S9	Vacancy Rate above national average Improvement in Retails Ranking	Vacancy Rate Retail ranking	Annual As published		Discussion with land owners and developers to bring to site(s) forward. Take into account as part of five year plan review
Policy SS2	Strategic Objective	Target	Indicator	Frequency	Trigger	Contingencies
SS2 Chatsworth Road Corridor	S2 S3 S4 S6 S9	Regeneration in the area 'Land South of Chatsworth Road' in line with adopted Masterplan.	Dwellings and Floorspace within the Land South of Chatsworth Road Masterplan area. Area of vacant land.		Buildings remain on 'at risk' register post <del>2016</del> <u>2025</u> Reduction in vacant land less than 50%.	Discussion with land owners and developers to bring to site forward. Consideration to review of masterplan and policy.
Policy SS3	Strategic Objective	Target	Indicator	Frequency	Trigger	Contingencies
SS3 Chesterfield Waterside and Potteries	S2 S3 S4 S6	Comprehensive redevelopment of area in line with approved masterplan by 2031. 2035	Dwelling and floorspace completions	Annual	Delivery below trajectory set out in five year housing supply	Discussion with land owners and developers to bring to site forward. Provide support when bidding for available funding.

						Consideration to review of masterplan and policy.
Policy SS4	Strategic Objective	Target	Indicator	Frequency	Trigger	Contingencies
SS4 Markham Vale	S6	Development of Markham Vale in accordance with permission	Completed floorspace	Annual as part of employment land monitoring	No additional floorspace within a 3 year period	Discussion with landowners to discuss barriers to development. Consideration to review of planning permission and policy in Local plan five year review
Policy SS5	Strategic Objective	Target	Indicator	Frequency	Trigger	Contingencies
SS5 Staveley and Rother Valley Corridor	S2 S4 S6 S7 S8 S9 S10 S12	Comprehensive redevelopment of area in line with approved masterplan	Progress with Planning applications	Quarterly through delivery board	Lack of progress identified by delivery board	Discussion with land owners and developers to bring to site forward. Provide support when bidding for available funding. Consideration to review of masterplan and policy as part of local plan five year review
Policy SS6	Strategic Objective	Target	Indicator	Frequency	Trigger	Contingencies
SS6 Land at Dunston	S2 S4 S5 S8 S10	Comprehensive redevelopment of area in line with approved masterplan	Trajectory set out in SoCG	Annual through housing supply monitoring	Delivery falls behind trajectory for three years	Discussion with land owners and developers to bring site forward. Consideration to review of masterplan and policy as part of local plan five year review
Policy SS7	Strategic Objective	Target	Indicator		Trigger	Contingencies

SS7 Chesterfield	S2	Comprehensive	Masterplan in	Annual	Non-	Discussion with land owners and developers
<b>Railway Station</b>	S4	redevelopment	place by end of		commencement	to bring <del>to</del> site forward.
	S5	of area in line	2019		of reserved	
	S6	with approved			matters planning	Consideration to review of masterplan and
	S8	masterplan			permissions by	policy
	S9				end of 2025	
	S10					
Policy SS8	Strategic	Target	Indicator		Trigger	Contingencies
	Objective					
SS8	All	Timetable and	Number of	As required,	A Neighbourhood	Review Local Plan and In Local Plan Review
Neighbourhood		programme of	Neighbourhood	annual after	Plan being	incorporate neighbourhood plan proposals if
Plans		support agreed in	Plans	adoption	approved.	necessary
		response to any	commenced			
		formal requests				
		for	Number of			
		neighbourhood	Neighbourhood			
		plans.	Plans approved			

## MM67 – HOUSING TRAJECTORY

Ref	Site	Туре	Planning Status (1 <sup>st</sup> April 2019)	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	2030/31	2031/32	2032/33	2033/34	2034/35	Total
Local Plan Alloca	ations		1	1			1	1	1			1						1	1		
H1	Edale Road Garage Court, Mastin Moor	Small Allocation	No permission	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
H2	Catherine Street Garage Court, Bank Street, Chesterfield	Small Allocation	No permission	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
H4	Heaton Court (Former), Meynell Close, Brampton	Small Allocation	Full permission	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
H5	Pondhouse Farm, Troughbrook Road, Hollingwood	Large Allocation	No permission	0	0	0	15	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
H6	Miller Avenue, Mastin Moor	Small Allocation	No permission	0	0	0	0	0	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
Н7	Hollythorpe Close (Land off), Hasland	Small Allocation	No permission	0	0	0	0	0	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
H8	Chesterfield Road (Land North of), Staveley	Small Allocation	No permission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
Н9	White Bank Close (Land at), Hasland	Small Allocation	No permission	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
H10	Derwent House HOP, Ulverston Road, Newbold	Small Allocation	No permission	0	0	0	0	7	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
H11	Sycamore Road (Land at), Hollingwood	Small Allocation	No permission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
H12	Ashbrook Centre (Former), Cuttholme Road, Loundsley Green	Small Allocation	No permission	0	0	0	0	7	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
H13	Elm Street (Land at), Hollingwood	Small Allocation	No permission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
H14	Swaddale Avenue (Land to the West of), Tapton	Small Allocation	No permission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21
H15	Goldwell Rooms (Former) and 6 Ashgate Road, Chesterfield	Small Allocation	Part of site has full permission	0	0	0	0	49	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	70
H16	Red House HOP and Spire Lodge, Sheffield Road, Chesterfield	Small Allocation	No permission	0	0	0	0	7	15	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
H17	Poultry Farm (Former), Manor Road, Brimington	Small Allocation	Full permission	0	4	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26
H19	Ash Glen Nursery (Former), Sheffield Road, Unstone	Large Allocation	No permission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	15	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30
H20	Duewell Court (Land at), Station Road, Barrow Hill	Large Allocation	No permission	0	0	0	0	15	15	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35
H21	Staveley Canal Basin, Eckington Road, Staveley	Large Allocation	No permission	0	0	0	0	15	30	30	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	90
H23	Allen and Orr Timber Yard, Saltergate, Chesterfield	Small Allocation	No permission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	15	15	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	39
H25	Boat Sales (Former), Sheffield Road, Unstone	Large Allocation	Outline permission	0	0	10	20	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50
H26	Rectory Road (Land adjacent), Duckmanton	Large Allocation	Full permission	0	15	15	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33
H27	Walton Hospital (Land at), Harehill Road, Walton	Large Allocation	No permission	0	0	0	0	48	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60

Ref	Site	Туре	Planning Status (1 <sup>st</sup> April 2019)	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	2030/31	2031/32	2032/33	2033/34	2034/35	Total
H28	Walton Hospital (Land at), Whitecotes Lane, Walton	Large Allocation	No permission	0	0	0	0	48	42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	90
H30	Walton Works (Former)	Large Allocation	No permission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	30	30	30	30	15	0	0	0	0	150
H31	Varley Park, Staveley Road, Poolsbrook	Large Allocation	Full permission	0	0	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	175
H32	Bent Lane, Staveley	Large Allocation	No permission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	30	30	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	140
H33	Linacre Road, Holme Hall	Large Allocation	No permission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50	50	50	50	50	50	0	0	0	0	300
Н34	Tom Lane (Land South of), West of Rectory Road, Duckmanton	Large Allocation	No permission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	50	50	50	50	50	0	0	0	0	275
H35	Land South of Worksop Road and East and West of Bolsover Road, Mastin Moor	Large Allocation	No permission	0	0	0	0	0	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	0	0	0	0	400
Н36	Inkersall Road (Land at), Inkersall	Large Allocation	No permission	0	0	0	0	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	0	0	0	0	0	400
\$\$1	Spire Neighbourhood, Chesterfield	SS1	No permission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	30	30	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
SS3	Chesterfield Waterside, Brimington Road, Chesterfield	SS3	Outline permission Part of site has reserved matters permission	0	0	50	207	207	37	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	59	50	50	1100
SS5	Staveley Works, Staveley	SS5	No permission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50	50	50	150
SS6	Land South of Dunston Lane Road, Dunston	SS6	Part of site has full permission	21	79	50	50	75	74	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	0	0	799
Commitments		c "				_		1		1	1	1	1	1		1			1		
CHE/17/00237/OUT	Commerce Centre, Canal Wharf, Chesterfield	Small Permission	Outline Permission	0	8	6	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	34
CHE/17/00326/REM	Dunston Road (Land off), Former Cammac Site	Large Permission	Full Permission	0	29	34	39	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	106
CHE/17/00685/REM	Wheeldon Mill, Rother Way, Chesterfield	Large Permission	Full Permission	2	30	30	30	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	120
CHE/15/00614/REM	Former Sheepbridge Sports and Social Club, 202 Newbold Road, Chesterfield	Large Permission	Completed	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21
CHE/18/00190/REM	Land At Cranleigh Road, Chesterfield, Derbyshire	Large Permission	Full Permission	3	36	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	75
CHE/16/00518/FUL	Eyre View, Newbold Road, Newbold	Large Permission	Completed	34	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	40
CHE/15/00838/REM	Ringwood Centre, Victoria Street, Brimington	Large Permission	Full Permission	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
CHE/18/00768/REM	Land To South Of Poplar Farm, Rectory Road, Duckmanton	Large Permission	Full Permission	0	6	15	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35
CHE/17/00798/FUL	Knightsbridge Court, West Bars, Chesterfield	Small Permission	Full Permission	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30
CHE/15/00755/OUT	Land To The West Of Bevan Drive Inkersall Derbyshire	Large Permission	Outline Permission	0	0	0	0	0	25	30	30	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	103
CHE/16/00835/FUL	The Elm Tree Inn, High Street, Staveley	Small Permission	Full Permission	0	7	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21
CHE/15/00098/FUL	Basil Close, Chesterfield	Small Permission	Full Permission	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22
CHE/15/00464/FUL	Land To The Rear Of 79 Sheffield Road, Stonegravels	Small Permission	Full Permission	9	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19

Ref	Site	Туре	Planning Status (1 <sup>st</sup> April 2019)	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	2030/31	2031/32	2032/33	2033/34	2034/35	Total
CHE/17/00634/OUT	1 Bridle Road, Woodthorpe, Chesterfield	Small Permission	Full Permission	0	0	7	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
CHE/15/00835/OUT	Loundsley Green Road (Land West of), Loundsley Green	Large Permission	Full Permission	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
CHE/18/00779/FUL	Chesterfield County Court, St Marys Gate, Chesterfield	Small Permission	Full Permission	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
CHE/15/00195/FUL	Former Social Club, Saltergate, Chesterfield	Small Permission	Full Permission	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
CHE/14/00896/FUL	Littlemoor Shopping Centre, Littlemoor Centre	Small Permission	Full Permission	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
CHE/18/00224/REM	Land Surrounding 146 To 152 Hady Lane, Hady Lane, Chesterfield	Large Permission	Full Permission	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
CHE/18/00144/FUL	Chesterfield Post Office, 1 Market Place, Chesterfield	Small Permission	Full Permission	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
CHE/17/00359/FUL	Victoria Hotel, Lowgates, Staveley	Small Permission	Full Permission	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
CHE/18/00432/FUL	Land Adjacent Trinity Court, Newbold Road, Newbold	Small Permission	Full Permission	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
CHE/15/00442/FUL	Poolsbrook Hotel, Staveley Road, Poolsbrook	Small Permission	Completed	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30
CHE/18/00784/FUL	87 New Square, Chesterfield	Small Permission	Full Permission	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
CHE/16/00121/FUL	Land To The West Of Keswick Drive, Newbold	Small Permission	Full Permission	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
CHE/17/00757/OUT	Land Used For Storage and Premises, Goyt Side Road	Small Permission	Outline Permission	0	0	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
CHE/16/00023/FUL	Handleywood Farm, Whittington Road, Barrow Hill	Small Permission	Full Permission	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
CHE/16/00216/FUL	Jacksons Bakery, New Hall Road	Small Permission	Full Permission	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
CHE/18/00697/OUT	St Marks Vicarage, 15 St Marks Road, Chesterfield	Small Permission	Full Permission	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
CHE/17/00814/OUT	Land At Chester Street, Chesterfield	Small Permission	Outline Permission	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
CHE/16/00053/FUL	Apple Trees, Lancaster Road, Newbold	Small Permission	Full Permission	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
CHE/17/00375/REM	Hady Miners Welfare Club, Houldsworth Drive, Hady	Small Permission	Full Permission	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
CHE/17/00700/REM	Land Adjacent Five Acres, Piccadilly Road	Small Permission	Full Permission	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
CHE/15/00394/FUL	Land At Upper King Street, Chesterfield	Small Permission	Completed	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
CHE/14/00392/FUL	Dunston Grange Farm, Dunston Lane, Dunston	Small Permission	Completed	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
CHE/17/00756/OUT	Land On Goytside Road Corner, Factory Street, Chesterfield	Small Permission	Outline Permission	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
CHE/17/00067/COU	1 Tennyson Avenue, Chesterfield	Small Permission	Full Permission	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
CHE/12/00286/MA	14A Spital Lane, Chesterfield	Small Permission	Full Permission	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
CHE/08/00311/FUL	Land At Wessex Close, Chesterfield	Small Permission	Full Permission	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
CHE/18/00024/FUL	Land At Breckland Road, Walton, Derbyshire	Small Permission	Full Permission	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5

Ref	Site	Туре	Planning Status (1 <sup>st</sup> April 2019)	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	2030/31	2031/32	2032/33	2033/34	2034/35	Total
CHE/17/00334/FUL	10B Marsden Street, Chesterfield	Small Permission	Completed	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
CHE/17/00263/FUL	Former Saltergate Health Centre, 107 Saltergate, Chesterfield	Small Permission	Completed	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33
CHE/17/00475/FUL	1-3 Knifesmithgate, Chesterfield	Small Permission	Completed	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
CHE/16/00436/OUT	325 Ashgate Road, Chesterfield	Small Permission	Outline Permission	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
CHE/18/00044/OUT	Ravensdale, 26 Chesterfield Road, Brimington	Small Permission	Outline Permission	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
CHE14/00139/FUL	44-46 Park Road, Chesterfield	Small Permission	Completed	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
Actual	/ Anticipated Net Completions (sites of 4 c	wellings or l	ess)	57	36	96	N/A	189													
	10% Lapse Rate (Discount on Commitn	nents)		N/A	-24	-37	-17	-5	-3	-3	-3	-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-94
	Windfall Allowance			N/A	N/A	N/A	34	34	34	34	34	34	34	34	34	34	34	34	34	34	476
	Total			212	315	516	515	659	466	338	478	542	473	461	369	304	139	193	134	134	6247

## MM68 – EMPLOYMENT LAND TRAJECTORY

#### Indicative Employment Land Delivery Trajectory (as of April 1<sup>st</sup> 2019)

Reference	Site Name	Assumed Employment Use	Short Term (0-5 Years)	Medium Term (6-10 Years)	Long Term (11-16 Years)
E1	Former GKN works, Sheepbridge Lane	Multiple (B1, B2, B8)	3.6 ha	-	-
E2	Land at Prospect Park, North of Dunston	Multiple (B1, B2, B8)	2.5	-	-
E3	Station Road (Wagon Works), Old Whittington	Multiple (B1, B2, B8)	-	-	6.3 ha
E4	Whitting Valley Road (Land at), Old Whittington	Multiple (B1, B2, B8)	3.74 ha	-	-
E5	Former Boythorpe Works, Goyt Side Road	Multiple (B1, B2, B8)	-	-	5 ha
E6	Impala Estates (land adj. Markham Vale)	B8	2.6 ha	-	-
N/A	Extant Planning Permissions at April 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2019 (excluding Land Accessed from Farndale Road)	Multiple (B1, B2, B8)	2.41 ha	-	-
N/A	Markham Vale West: Plot 2	Multiple (B2, B8)	1.47 ha	-	-
N/A	Markham Vale East: Plot 5 North	B8	0.75 ha	-	-
N/A	Land Accessed from Farndale Road, Staveley (CHE/13/00675/OUT)	Multiple (B1, B2, B8)	-	10 ha	6.58 ha
N/A	Staveley Works Corridor (area around Works Road, SS5)	Multiple (B1, B2, B8)	-	2 ha	-
N/A	HS2 IMD	B2	-	-	4 ha
	Total		17.07	12	21.88

Total Employment Land included within indicative trajectory: 50.95 ha

Minus anticipated loss at Chesterfield Waterside (-2 ha): 48.95 ha